

<p style="text-align:center">FY 2005-06 BOARD OF REGENTS SUPPORT FUND REVIEW OF UNDERGRADUATE ENHANCEMENT PROPOSALS</p>
--

Introduction

The Undergraduate Enhancement proposal review committee consisting of Dr. Ralph Eckert, Pennsylvania State University-Behrend College (chair); Dr. Wei Kang Tsai, University of California, Irvine; Dr. Lewis Baker, University of Texas at Arlington; Dr. Elaine Eatman, Hampton University; and Dr. Andrew Wildenberg, Cornell College, met March 6-8, 2006, in Baton Rouge to evaluate 68 proposals submitted to the Louisiana Board of Regents requesting funds through the Undergraduate Enhancement component of the Louisiana Education Quality Support Fund.

The review committee received the following materials prior to the visit: a) 68 Undergraduate proposals to be evaluated, with appropriately numbered rating forms; b) a summary of proposals listing titles, investigators involved, institutions, dollars requested, etc.; c) the FY 2005-06 Enhancement Request for Proposals; and d) a copy of the 2003 Undergraduate Enhancement review committee final report.

Prior to the meeting each member read the materials, assessed the proposals, and tentatively completed the rating form for each proposal. At its meeting the committee discussed each proposal and transformed each individual tentative rating into a composite rating. Reviewers then prepared comprehensive ratings and drafted this final report. Each proposal was reviewed and discussed in detail, and reviewers believe that each proposal received a thorough and fair evaluation based on the criteria enumerated in the RFP.

This report contains tables that rank all proposals, categorizing them into two groups. Table I presents a rank-order list of proposals highly recommended for funding with recommended funding levels. Proposals not recommended for funding are listed in Table II. First-year funds requested during this funding cycle totaled \$4,638,845. Of the 68 proposals, 47 were recommended for full or partial funding. Partial funding was determined only after review of the budget resulting in funded amounts corresponding to the most pressing need(s) presented. The committee recommended total funding of \$1,525,862 for proposals with a composite score of 75 or greater. A detailed review of each proposal follows immediately after the tables. A summary of all proposals submitted (Appendix A) and a copy of the rating forms used in the evaluations (Appendix B) are attached at the end of the report.

Four of the five members of this committee have reviewed Undergraduate Enhancement proposals for several years. Over that time we have noticed a significant increase in the quality of proposals submitted. However, this year we noticed that many proposals did not follow the guidelines of the program or were not considered appropriate for this competition. In the latter cases, the committee suggested that they be resubmitted to more appropriate programs.

TABLE I
PROPOSALS HIGHLY RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING

RANK	RATING	PROPOSAL NO.	INSTITUTION	FIRST YEAR FUNDS REQUESTED	FIRST YEAR FUNDS RECOMMENDED	SECOND YEAR FUNDS REQUESTED	SECOND YEAR FUNDS RECOMMENDED
1	89	013UG-06	LSU-Shreveport	\$54,000	\$28,462		
2	88	007UG-06	Centenary	\$74,548	\$39,968		
2	88	029UG-06	Nicholls	\$38,025	\$33,255		
2	88	037UG-06	Nicholls	\$30,123	\$30,123		
5	87	008UG-06	Centenary	\$63,823	\$28,761		
5	87	011UG-06	LSU-Alexandria	\$39,937	\$29,827	\$13,760	\$0
7	86	014UG-06	LSU-Shreveport	\$36,157	\$31,418		
7	86	067UG-06	Xavier	\$120,765	\$87,000	\$0	\$0
9	85	024UG-06	LSU-Shreveport	\$110,844	\$51,185		
9	85	038UG-06	Northwestern	\$102,764	\$73,961		
9	85	059UG-06	SU-New Orleans	\$38,632	\$38,632		
12	84	043UG-06	SLU	\$30,420	\$20,000		
12	84	044UG-06	SLU	\$164,969	\$72,936		
14	83	004UG-06	Centenary	\$69,017	\$47,802		
14	83	015UG-06	LSU-Shreveport	\$43,745	\$29,745		
14	83	023UG-06	LSU-Shreveport	\$142,750	\$40,000		
14	83	028UG-06	McNeese	\$70,438	\$16,367	\$4,903	\$0
18	82	016UG-06	LSU-Shreveport	\$20,289	\$14,289		
18	82	020UG-06	LSU-Shreveport	\$45,500	\$28,000		
18	82	034UG-06	Nicholls	\$16,115	\$16,115		
18	82	058UG-06	SU-New Orleans	\$72,306	\$50,000		
22	81	047UG-06	SLU	\$21,580	\$21,580		
23	80	003UG-06	Centenary	\$41,988	\$36,290		
23	80	026UG-06	McNeese	\$108,825	\$36,072		
23	80	032UG-06	Nicholls	\$20,130	\$20,130		
23	80	049UG-06	SLU	\$23,163	\$23,163		
23	80	065UG-06	ULM	\$46,900	\$27,600		
28	79	062UG-06	SU-New Orleans	\$106,658	\$60,000		
29	78	041UG-06	LOL College	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$50,000	\$0
29	78	042UG-06	SLCC	\$29,523	\$21,000		
31	77	018UG-06	LSU-Shreveport	\$63,580	\$38,398		
31	77	048UG-06	SLU	\$79,107	\$42,000		
31	77	063UG-06	SU-New Orleans	\$48,209	\$32,000		
34	76	006UG-06	Centenary	\$39,894	\$9,893		
34	76	022UG-06	LSU-Shreveport	\$31,154	\$19,984		
34	76	036UG-06	Nicholls	\$95,845	\$63,000		
34	76	068UG-06	Dillard	\$93,986	\$59,500		
38	75	002UG-06	BPCC	\$43,570	\$15,000		
38	75	005UG-06	Centenary	\$32,787	\$26,756		
38	75	010UG-06	Grambling	\$90,000	\$20,000		
38	75	012UG-06	LSU-Eunice	\$28,566	\$18,567		
38	75	025UG-06	Loyola	\$99,985	\$13,225		
38	75	027UG-06	McNeese	\$79,458	\$26,486		
38	75	033UG-06	Nicholls	\$19,552	\$4,888		
38	75	039UG-06	Northwestern	\$39,368	\$10,514		
38	75	054UG-06	SU-New Orleans	\$26,970	\$26,970		
38	75	066UG-06	Xavier	\$86,595	\$20,000	\$26,647	\$0
TOTALS:				\$2,807,560	\$1,525,862	\$95,310	\$0

TABLE II
PROPOSALS NOT RECOMMENDED FOR FUNDING

RANK	RATING	PROPOSAL NO.	INSTITUTION	FIRST YEAR FUNDS REQUESTED	FIRST YEAR FUNDS RECOMMENDED	SECOND YEAR FUNDS REQUESTED	SECOND YEAR FUNDS RECOMMENDED
48	72	056UG-06	SU-New Orleans	\$22,000	\$0		
48	72	060UG-06	SU-New Orleans	\$147,520	\$0		
50	70	019UG-06	LSU-Shreveport	\$34,414	\$0		
50	70	046UG-06	SLU	\$63,943	\$0		
50	70	050UG-06	SU-New Orleans	\$28,667	\$0	\$6,000	\$0
50	70	057UG-06	SU-New Orleans	\$50,545	\$0		
54	69	064UG-06	SU-New Orleans	\$169,406	\$0		
55	68	061UG-06	SU-New Orleans	\$57,387	\$0		
56	67	035UG-06	Nicholls	\$99,114	\$0		
56	67	055UG-06	SU-New Orleans	\$47,698	\$0		
58	66	017UG-06	LSU-Shreveport	\$85,468	\$0		
59	65	001UG-06	BPCC	\$158,396	\$0		
60	64	030UG-06	Nicholls	\$188,211	\$0		
60	64	045UG-06	SLU	\$21,218	\$0		
62	63	052UG-06	SU-New Orleans	\$77,397	\$0		
63	59	040UG-06	Nunez	\$304,932	\$0		
64	58	009UG-06	Grambling	\$29,992	\$0	\$0	\$0
64	58	021UG-06	LSU-Shreveport	\$48,044	\$0		
66	53	051UG-06	SU-New Orleans	\$87,500	\$0	\$50,000	\$0
67	52	031UG-06	Nicholls	\$48,634	\$0		
68	51	053UG-06	SU-New Orleans	\$60,799	\$0		
			TOTALS:	\$1,831,285	\$0	\$56,000	\$0

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 001UG-06

INSTITUTION: Bossier Parish Community College

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Multipurpose Classroom and Mobile Learning Environment

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 3 (of 5 points)
 A.3 3 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 4 (of 6 points)
 C.2 1 (of 1 point)
 C.3 2 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 1 (of 2 points)
 E.2a (For S/E)
 or (of 10 points)
 E.2b 5 (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 3 (of 5 points)
 B.2 12 (of 15 points)
 B.3 14 (of 20 points)
 B.4 4 (of 5 points)
 B.5 2 (of 2 points)
 B.6 3 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 7 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 1 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes _____ No X

H. Total Score: 65 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$158,396
 RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$0

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

Although technology resources are exceedingly thin at this two-year institution, this costly, overly ambitious proposal seeking primarily computer equipment and software to improve the students' educational experience is not realistic. The PI must think in smaller terms and is urged to resubmit at a later date a more modest request with greater institutional and community support.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 002UG-06

INSTITUTION: Bossier Parish Community College

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Mobile Learning Environment for CIS

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
A.2 3 (of 5 points)
A.3 3 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 4 (of 6 points)
C.2 1 (of 1 point)
C.3 2 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 1 (of 2 points)
E.2a 8 (For S/E)
or (of 10 points)
E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 4 (of 5 points)
B.2 10 (of 15 points)
B.3 15 (of 20 points)
B.4 5 (of 5 points)
B.5 1 (of 2 points)
B.6 5 (of 5 points)
B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 3 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

Total Score:

75

 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$43,570

RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$15,000

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This project has several technical difficulties. While the equipment requested will generally benefit the program, more specificity is needed throughout the proposal. The narrative in Section A.1 was too wordy and, as a consequence, the goals are vague and not necessarily well supported. No specific arguments are presented relating to the specific benefits to students or the curriculum. Arguments for using technology for its own sake are less persuasive than arguments for a specific goal. However, there were many good points to the proposal, including demonstration of need, some matching funds, and some reasonable general statements of curricular benefit. Partial funding of \$15,000 is recommended, contingent on BPCC's honoring the \$5,000 institutional match. With this award Bossier should be able to fund a lab of 15-20 machines, and it is recommended that the PIs explore using an industrial wireless access point (a.k.a. Cisco) instead of a home wireless access point. Personal experience has shown the commodity WAPs are not capable of simultaneously supporting more than four machines, in spite of claims to the contrary.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 003UG-06

INSTITUTION: Centenary College

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Enhanced Separation Capabilities in Biochemistry and Chemistry at Centenary College

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
A.2 5 (of 5 points)
A.3 5 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 6 (of 6 points)
C.2 1 (of 1 point)
C.3 2 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
E.2a 6 (For S/E)
or (of 10 points)
E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

H. Total Score:

80

 (of 100 points)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 5 (of 5 points)
B.2 12 (of 15 points)
B.3 18 (of 20 points)
B.4 3 (of 5 points)
B.5 1 (of 2 points)
B.6 3 (of 5 points)
B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 8 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 3 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$41,988

RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$36,290

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

The writer of this proposal seeks to purchase a preparative high pressure liquid chromatography apparatus to enhance the separation capabilities in several courses and research activities in the Department of Chemistry. Funding for the HPLC is recommended at the level of \$36,290. It is suggested that the software and sales tax be supported by pledged matching funds, which should be maintained in full.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 004UG-06

INSTITUTION: Centenary College

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Enhancement of Biomolecular Tools at Centenary College

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
A.2 5 (of 5 points)
A.3 5 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 6 (of 6 points)
C.2 1 (of 1 point)
C.3 3 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
E.2a 6 (For S/E)
or (of 10 points)
E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 4 (of 5 points)
B.2 12 (of 15 points)
B.3 17 (of 20 points)
B.4 3 (of 5 points)
B.5 2 (of 2 points)
B.6 3 (of 5 points)
B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 4 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

H. Total Score:

83

 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$69,017
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$47,802

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

In an effort to enhance undergraduate students' understanding of enzyme function and gene expression, Centenary's Department of Biological Sciences is requesting the tools used to investigate these processes. Due to limited funds, this proposal is recommended for partial funding of \$47,802 for the purchase of 3 laptops, 3 spectrophotometers, 3 nucleic acid electrophoresis units, and a gel and immunoblot image system. The match for equipment and supplies should be maintained in full.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 005UG-06

INSTITUTION: Centenary College

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Enhancing Undergraduate Education Through Economic Reporting to Secondary Teachers

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
A.2 4 (of 5 points)
A.3 4 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 4 (of 6 points)
C.2 1 (of 1 point)
C.3 2 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
E.2a _____ (For S/E)
or _____ (of 10 points)
E.2b 7 (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 4 (of 5 points)
B.2 11 (of 15 points)
B.3 15 (of 20 points)
B.4 4 (of 5 points)
B.5 2 (of 2 points)
B.6 4 (of 5 points)
B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 9 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 2 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

H. Total Score:

75

 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$32,787
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$26,756

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This well-crafted proposal seeks start-up funds for a worthwhile project that will benefit both college and high school students. Biannual economic reports, analyzed and compiled by Centenary students on compact discs, will be distributed for use by Louisiana secondary economics educators. Total equipment costs of \$26,756 are recommended, but the institution should assume the other expenses as well as maintain pledged matching support.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 006UG-06

INSTITUTION: Centenary College

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Enhancing Humanities Computing at Centenary College of Louisiana

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 3 (of 5 points)
 A.3 4 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 4 (of 6 points)
 C.2 1 (of 1 point)
 C.3 2 (of 3 points)

**E. Economic and/or Cultural
Development and Impact**

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 0 (of 2 points)
 E.2a _____ (For S/E)
 or _____ (of 10 points)
 E.2b 8 (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 4 (of 5 points)
 B.2 12 (of 15 points)
 B.3 17 (of 20 points)
 B.4 3 (of 5 points)
 B.5 1 (of 2 points)
 B.6 4 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 3 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes _____ No X

H. Total Score: 76 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$39,894
 RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$9,893

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

The proposal is fairly well planned and responsibly budgeted. However, it lacks a compelling argument that another dedicated classroom is needed to achieve specific goals. Faculty would, no doubt, find uses for the classroom but, given budgetary restraints, that is insufficient for a recommendation for full funding. The lack of specific plans is particularly evident in the evaluation section, which consists mostly of asking people how they liked things. Funding is recommended at \$9,893 for two additional carts and other items at the PI's discretion. This funding should expand the implementation of existing faculty activities. The equipment match may be reduced proportionally with the reduction in funding.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 007UG-06

INSTITUTION: Centenary College

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Enhancement of Pre-Professional Allied Health and Exercise Science Programs Through the Establishment of a Human Anatomy and Physiology Laboratory and the Introduction of Guided-Inquiry Learning

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
A.2 5 (of 5 points)
A.3 5 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 6 (of 6 points)
C.2 1 (of 1 point)
C.3 2 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
E.2a 8 (For S/E)
or (of 10 points)
E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 5 (of 5 points)
B.2 12 (of 15 points)
B.3 17 (of 20 points)
B.4 5 (of 5 points)
B.5 2 (of 2 points)
B.6 4 (of 5 points)
B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 12 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 2 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

H. Total Score:

88

 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$74,548
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$39,968

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This proposal seeks funds for equipment for a newly developed lab space for teaching a two-semester course in anatomy and physiology. The need has been justified but, due to limited funds available, the committee recommends partial funding of \$39,968. This award will permit the purchase of several of the major pieces of equipment requested: the spectrophotometer, the balance, 12 microscopes, 6 notebook computers, and A.D.A.M. software. Matching funds should be maintained in full.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 008UG-06

INSTITUTION: Centenary College

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Focus on Biology: Using Digital Microscopes to Enhance Knowledge, Critical Thinking, and Teamwork in Biology Courses

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
A.2 5 (of 5 points)
A.3 5 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 6 (of 6 points)
C.2 1 (of 1 point)
C.3 3 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 1 (of 2 points)
E.2a 8 (For S/E)
or (of 10 points)
E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 5 (of 5 points)
B.2 12 (of 15 points)
B.3 16 (of 20 points)
B.4 5 (of 5 points)
B.5 2 (of 2 points)
B.6 5 (of 5 points)
B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 3 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

H. Total Score:

87

 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$63,823
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$28,761

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This is a well-written proposal with justifications for equipment to enhance the quality of microscopic studies in the Biology Department. In an effort to meet the pressing needs of as many of the high-quality proposals submitted as possible, the committee recommends partial funding of \$28,761 for six digital microscopes, six laptop computers, and one digital printer. The match for equipment and supplies should be maintained.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT REQUESTS OTHER THAN EQUIPMENT PURCHASES

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 009UG-06

INSTITUTION: Grambling State University

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Katrina and Rita, One Year Later: An Oral History of the Victims, Evacuees, and Residents of Louisiana

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 2 (of 5 points)
 A.3 1 (of 5 points)

C. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

D. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 1 (of 2 points)
 E.2a _____ (For S/E)
 or _____ (of 10 points)
 E.2b 7 (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 62 Points)

B.1 4 (of 5 points)
 B.2 15 (of 20 points)
 B.3 11 (of 25 points)
 B.4 2 (of 5 points)
 B.5 1 (of 2 points)
 B.6 4 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes X No _____

E. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 0 (of 4 points)

F. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes _____ No X

G. Total Score: 58 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

		<u>YEAR 1</u>	<u>YEAR 2</u>
SPECIFIC BUDGETARY RECOMMENDATIONS:	Requested Amount:	<u>\$29,992</u>	<u>\$0</u>
	Recommended Amount:	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

The proposal's rationale focuses on "healing" rather than accumulating historical knowledge, which is what historians and journalists do. Further, there is no reason an oral history course could not be implemented without this project. The timeframe for the project is too short to assess long-term impact, and it is unclear how the kind of information to be gathered could be integrated into larger studies. The narrative formats would make quantified comparisons difficult, but do not provide enough of a story to be valuable in themselves. The plan of work is fairly well thought out, but it does not appear to lead anywhere. The committee does not recommend funding.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT REQUESTS OTHER THAN EQUIPMENT PURCHASES

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 010UG-06

INSTITUTION: Grambling State University

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Grambling Faculty/Student Development Project

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 4 (of 5 points)
 A.3 4 (of 5 points)

C. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

**D. Economic and/or Cultural
Development and Impact**

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
 E.2a _____ (For S/E)
 or _____ (of 10 points)
 E.2b 7 (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 62 Points)

B.1 4 (of 5 points)
 B.2 14 (of 20 points)
 B.3 20 (of 25 points)
 B.4 4 (of 5 points)
 B.5 1 (of 2 points)
 B.6 3 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes X No _____

E. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 2 (of 4 points)

F. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes _____ No X

G. Total Score: 75 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY **Requested Amount:** \$90,000
RECOMMENDATIONS: **Recommended Amount:** \$20,000

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

Although the goal of increasing the visibility and participation of Grambling faculty at conferences and workshops is laudable, the proposal is much too costly and ambitious for an initial, one-year project. Further, there are no matching funds pledged. The budget is excessive in its request for funds for faculty and especially for students. To support a scaled-down version of the project, the committee recommends an award of \$20,000 for some travel expenses for faculty only. All travel must adhere to State travel regulations. Reapplication at a later date with evidence detailing the results of this recommendation for funding is suggested.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 011UG-06

INSTITUTION: Louisiana State University and A&M College - Alexandria

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Application of Computer Technology for Instructional Enhancement

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 5 (of 5 points)
 A.3 5 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 6 (of 6 points)
 C.2 1 (of 1 point)
 C.3 3 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 1 (of 2 points)
 E.2a 8 (For S/E)
 or (of 10 points)
 E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 5 (of 5 points)
 B.2 12 (of 15 points)
 B.3 16 (of 20 points)
 B.4 5 (of 5 points)
 B.5 2 (of 2 points)
 B.6 5 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 3 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes _____ No _____ X _____

H. Total Score: 87 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

		<u>YEAR 1</u>	<u>YEAR 2</u>
SPECIFIC BUDGETARY RECOMMENDATIONS:	Requested Amount:	<u>\$39,937</u>	<u>\$13,760</u>
	Recommended Amount:	<u>\$29,827</u>	<u>\$0</u>

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

The purpose of this project is to increase the quality of instruction in LSU-A's histology and anatomy courses. The plan to download image data that will be generated on campus servers has the potential to impact other undergraduate institutions and high schools as well. Funding is recommended for one year only at the \$29,827 level. This partial funding will permit purchase of essential equipment items, including items A₁-A₃ (microscope, digital camera systems, computer), and items B₁-B₂ (imaging processing software). The pledged match should be maintained in full.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 012UG-06

INSTITUTION: Louisiana State University and A&M College - Eunice

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Developing an Enhanced Multi-Media Social Science Laboratory at LSUE

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
A.2 4 (of 5 points)
A.3 4 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 5 (of 6 points)
C.2 1 (of 1 point)
C.3 2 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 1 (of 2 points)
E.2a _____ (For S/E)
or _____ (of 10 points)
E.2b 7 (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 4 (of 5 points)
B.2 12 (of 15 points)
B.3 15 (of 20 points)
B.4 4 (of 5 points)
B.5 1 (of 2 points)
B.6 3 (of 5 points)
B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 9 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 3 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

H. Total Score:

75

 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$28,566
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$18,567

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This modest proposal seeks funding for laptop computers, projectors, and software to integrate media exercises into classrooms and enhance the presentation/learning processes in the social sciences. Immediate results may not be dramatic, but there is promise of significant enhancement. Although some of the proposed evaluative tools are questionable and computer costs too high, the committee recommends that projectors be reduced to two, and recommends an award of \$18,567 to build upon an earlier BoRSF grant. Institutional matching funds should be maintained in full.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT REQUESTS OTHER THAN EQUIPMENT PURCHASES

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 013UG-06

INSTITUTION: Louisiana State University and A&M College - Shreveport

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Curriculum Development in Functional Genomics and
Proteomics at LSU

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
A.2 5 (of 5 points)
A.3 5 (of 5 points)

C. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 12 (of 12 points)

D. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
E.2a 8 (For S/E)
or (of 10 points)
E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 62 Points)

B.1 5 (of 5 points)
B.2 17 (of 20 points)
B.3 20 (of 25 points)
B.4 5 (of 5 points)
B.5 2 (of 2 points)
B.6 5 (of 5 points)
B.7 Yes X No _____

E. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 3 (of 4 points)

F. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

G. Total Score:

89

 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$54,000
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$28,462

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

A new course in genomic and proteomic biology will be developed by LSU-S faculty, together with the specific laboratory exercises. Because of limited funds available, partial funding of \$28,462 is recommended for purchase of the equipment requested and about \$20,000 for supplies. For the DNA chips experiments, the committee recommends that the PIs explore Malcolm Campbell's (Davidson College) Slide Exchange Program. Funds for faculty release time are not recommended; however, the University should maintain matching funds for faculty release time and supplies.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 014UG-06

INSTITUTION: Louisiana State University and A&M College - Shreveport

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Upgrade and Enhancement of GIS Lab

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
A.2 5 (of 5 points)
A.3 5 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 6 (of 6 points)
C.2 1 (of 1 point)
C.3 3 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
E.2a _____ (For S/E)
or _____ (of 10 points)
E.2b 8 (For NS/NE)

H. Total Score:

86

 (of 100 points)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 5 (of 5 points)
B.2 11 (of 15 points)
B.3 16 (of 20 points)
B.4 4 (of 5 points)
B.5 2 (of 2 points)
B.6 4 (of 5 points)
B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 4 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$36,157
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$31,418

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This straightforward proposal requests funds to update existing GIS laboratory resources, primarily by replacing outdated equipment. The applicants have a long history of successful grants and are well supported by their institutional match that should be maintained to fully support a project such as this. The committee recommends partial funding at \$31,418 to be expended at the PI's discretion, except that we recommend reducing computer costs by about 15%.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 015UG-06

INSTITUTION: Louisiana State University and A&M College - Shreveport

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Computer Interfaced Equipment and its Role in Freshman
Biology Laboratory Instruction at LSUS

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
A.2 5 (of 5 points)
A.3 5 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 6 (of 6 points)
C.2 1 (of 1 point)
C.3 3 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
E.2a 6 (For S/E)
or (of 10 points)
E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 5 (of 5 points)
B.2 12 (of 15 points)
B.3 15 (of 20 points)
B.4 4 (of 5 points)
B.5 2 (of 2 points)
B.6 4 (of 5 points)
B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 3 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

H. Total Score:

83

 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$43,745
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$29,745

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This proposal for purchase of computer equipment to use in freshman biology laboratory instruction is worthy of full funding, but budgetary constraints this funding cycle force the committee to recommend funding at the level of \$29,745. Reducing the number of units requested from eight to six should permit purchase of all of the hardware and interface equipment requested. The pledged match may be reduced proportionally with the reduced funding recommendation.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 016UG-06

INSTITUTION: Louisiana State University and A&M College - Shreveport

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Computer Numeric Control (CNC) Enhancement for Undergraduate Education

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 5 (of 5 points)
 A.3 5 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 6 (of 6 points)
 C.2 1 (of 1 point)
 C.3 3 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
 E.2a 5 (For S/E)
 or _____ (of 10 points)
 E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 5 (of 5 points)
 B.2 15 (of 15 points)
 B.3 15 (of 20 points)
 B.4 5 (of 5 points)
 B.5 2 (of 2 points)
 B.6 3 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes _____ No X

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 6 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 4 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

H. Total Score: 82 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$20,289
 RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$14,289

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This is a timely and well-conceived proposal. The requested CNC equipment is a much-needed enhancement to the LSU-S undergraduate program. The budget is reasonable; however, the committee recommends that the \$6,000 allocated for student stipends be eliminated. The matching funds pledged should be maintained in full.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 017UG-06

INSTITUTION: Louisiana State University and A&M College - Shreveport

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Film Studies Enhancement

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 3 (of 5 points)
 A.3 3 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 3 (of 6 points)
 C.2 1 (of 1 point)
 C.3 3 (of 3 points)

**E. Economic and/or Cultural
Development and Impact**

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
 E.2a (For S/E)
 or (of 10 points)
 E.2b 7 (For NS/NE)

H. Total Score: 66 (of 100 points)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 3 (of 5 points)
 B.2 9 (of 15 points)
 B.3 12 (of 20 points)
 B.4 3 (of 5 points)
 B.5 1 (of 2 points)
 B.6 3 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 3 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY **Requested Amount:** \$85,468
RECOMMENDATIONS: **Recommended Amount:** \$0

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This proposal's explanation of the connection between film study and film production is not as compelling as it might be, and the integration of new resources into existing ones sounds more like "replacement" to the committee. When project directors write that they need additional time to decide what to do with requested equipment, the work plan and evaluation processes are limited to installation of equipment, and necessarily do not include pedagogical or scholarly results. The proposal thus suffers in comparison to others. The equipment list similarly suggests a lack of focus on specific goals and related activities. The faculty appear capable of finding good uses for such a facility, but with current budget constraints, such hopes are not sufficient for the committee to recommend funding for this project.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT REQUESTS OTHER THAN EQUIPMENT PURCHASES

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 018UG-06

INSTITUTION: Louisiana State University and A&M College - Shreveport

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Service-Learning Enhancement Project

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 4 (of 5 points)
 A.3 3 (of 5 points)

C. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 9 (of 12 points)

D. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
 E.2a _____ (For S/E)
 or _____ (of 10 points)
 E.2b 8 (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 62 Points)

B.1 4 (of 5 points)
 B.2 16 (of 20 points)
 B.3 20 (of 25 points)
 B.4 4 (of 5 points)
 B.5 1 (of 2 points)
 B.6 3 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes X No _____

E. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 3 (of 4 points)

F. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes _____ No X

G. Total Score: 77 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$63,580
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$38,398

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This interesting proposal offers significant potential to increase LSU-S's student retention, academic comprehension, and civic responsibility by integrating active service and learning experiences into the existing curriculum. Although well supported by the institution, requests for release time are excessive. Nevertheless, release time for four faculty members and all other non-release requests are recommended. The committee recommends partial funding of \$38,398 that otherwise may be expended at the PI's discretion. Matching funds should be maintained in full.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 019UG-06

INSTITUTION: Louisiana State University and A&M College - Shreveport

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Laboratory Equipment and Supplies Library

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 4 (of 5 points)
 A.3 4 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 4 (of 6 points)
 C.2 2 (of 1 point)
 C.3 3 (of 3 points)

**E. Economic and/or Cultural
Development and Impact**

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
 E.2a 7 (For S/E)
 or _____ (of 10 points)
 E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 3 (of 5 points)
 B.2 10 (of 15 points)
 B.3 11 (of 20 points)
 B.4 3 (of 5 points)
 B.5 2 (of 2 points)
 B.6 3 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 9 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 3 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

H. Total Score: 70 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$34,414
 RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$0

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

It appears that this proposal might be in better alignment with the new K-16 Partnerships for School Reform (PSR) Program funded by the Board of Regents. No funding is recommended at this time. Alternatively, the committee suggests resubmitting this proposal when education is an eligible Enhancement discipline.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT REQUESTS OTHER THAN EQUIPMENT PURCHASES

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 020UG-06

INSTITUTION: Louisiana State University and A&M College - Shreveport

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Enhancement of Experiential Learning in Biology at LSUS

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 5 (of 5 points)
 A.3 5 (of 5 points)

C. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

**D. Economic and/or Cultural
Development and Impact**

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
 E.2a 7 (For S/E)
 or _____ (of 10 points)
 E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 62 Points)

B.1 5 (of 5 points)
 B.2 15 (of 20 points)
 B.3 20 (of 25 points)
 B.4 4 (of 5 points)
 B.5 2 (of 2 points)
 B.6 4 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes X No _____

E. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 3 (of 4 points)

F. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

G. Total Score: 82 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY	Requested Amount:	<u> \$45,500 </u>
RECOMMENDATIONS:	Recommended Amount:	<u> \$28,000 </u>

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

The proposed stipends for undergraduate research students should increase hands-on research experiences for biology majors and, at the same time, facilitate ongoing faculty research. In addition to student stipends, available monies should fund supplies, student travel line items, and some personnel costs. Partial funding of \$28,000 is recommended. Matching funds pledged should be maintained in full.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 021UG-06

INSTITUTION: Louisiana State University and A&M College - Shreveport

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Electronic Media Journalism Enhancement

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
A.2 3 (of 5 points)
A.3 3 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 4 (of 6 points)
C.2 0 (of 1 point)
C.3 2 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 1 (of 2 points)
E.2a _____ (For S/E)
or _____ (of 10 points)
E.2b 5 (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 3 (of 5 points)
B.2 10 (of 15 points)
B.3 8 (of 20 points)
B.4 3 (of 5 points)
B.5 1 (of 2 points)
B.6 3 (of 5 points)
B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 9 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 3 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes _____ No X

H. Total Score:

58

 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$48,044
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$0

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

While the applicant states that the program emphasizes "the basics of reporting, interviewing, writing, and ethical decision-making," no connection between the goals and the equipment requested is demonstrated. This in turn limits the committee's ability to evaluate outcomes. The proposed evaluation consists of counting how many people used the technology and asking them how they liked it. The proposal needs a better description of how the equipment would be used and, more importantly, why it would be used. The committee does not recommend funding for this project.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 022UG-06

INSTITUTION: Louisiana State University and A&M College - Shreveport

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Psychology Multimedia Classroom Technology

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 4 (of 5 points)
 A.3 4 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 5 (of 6 points)
 C.2 1 (of 1 point)
 C.3 2 (of 3 points)

**E. Economic and/or Cultural
Development and Impact**

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 1 (of 2 points)
 E.2a _____ (For S/E)
 or _____ (of 10 points)
 E.2b 7 (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 4 (of 5 points)
 B.2 12 (of 15 points)
 B.3 15 (of 20 points)
 B.4 4 (of 5 points)
 B.5 2 (of 2 points)
 B.6 3 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 9 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 3 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

H. Total Score: 76 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$31,154
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$19,984

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

Seeking to build upon an earlier BoR award, the applicant proposes an upgrade to multimedia classroom presentation technology. Most of this request legitimately involves undergraduate enhancements, but several of the computers appear geared more toward graduate education. The committee nevertheless recommends a partial award of \$19,984. We believe the projector prices quoted are much too high. Matching funds should be maintained in full.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 023UG-06

INSTITUTION: Louisiana State University and A&M College - Shreveport

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Experience and Experiments with a Full Collaboration Classroom

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 4 (of 5 points)
 A.3 4 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 6 (of 6 points)
 C.2 1 (of 1 point)
 C.3 2 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
 E.2a 6 (For S/E)
 or _____ (of 10 points)
 E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 4 (of 5 points)
 B.2 13 (of 15 points)
 B.3 18 (of 20 points)
 B.4 4 (of 5 points)
 B.5 2 (of 2 points)
 B.6 4 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 3 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

H. Total Score: 83 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$142,750
 RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$40,000

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This proposal indicates that the applicants have thought a great deal about the problem at hand and avoids the standard "technology for technology's sake" argument. The writing is consistently good. However, the committee believes that the applicants have consistently overstated prices and equipment capabilities. Funding of \$40,000 is recommended, which should support the core hardware and software for the project. Matching funds may be reduced proportionally with the reduced funding recommendation.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 024UG-06

INSTITUTION: Louisiana State University and A&M College - Shreveport

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Exercise Physiology Science Lab

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
A.2 5 (of 5 points)
A.3 5 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 5 (of 6 points)
C.2 1 (of 1 point)
C.3 3 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
E.2a 10 (For S/E)
or _____ (of 10 points)
E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 5 (of 5 points)
B.2 12 (of 15 points)
B.3 18 (of 20 points)
B.4 4 (of 5 points)
B.5 2 (of 2 points)
B.6 4 (of 5 points)
B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 9 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 0 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

H. Total Score:

85

 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$110,844
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$51,185

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This request is for funding of state-of-the-art diagnostic equipment for the exercise physiology laboratory. The department continues to build on the equipment acquired through a previous BoRSF grant. Because of limited available funds, the committee recommends partial funding of \$51,185 for two Dartfish Video Analysis Systems, a Sports Cycle Ergometer and accessories, a metabolic measurement system, two kinematic measurement systems, and an electronic digital scale. Despite this recommended reduction, matching funds should be fully maintained.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 025UG-06

INSTITUTION: Loyola University New Orleans

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: The Loyola Digital, Nonlinear Electronic News Production
Center

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
A.2 3 (of 5 points)
A.3 4 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 5 (of 6 points)
C.2 1 (of 1 point)
C.3 3 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
E.2a _____ (For S/E)
or _____ (of 10 points)
E.2b 8 (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 2 (of 5 points)
B.2 9 (of 15 points)
B.3 16 (of 20 points)
B.4 3 (of 5 points)
B.5 2 (of 2 points)
B.6 4 (of 5 points)
B.7 Yes _____ No X

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 3 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes _____ No X

H. Total Score:

75

 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$99,985
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$13,225

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This is an ambitious but costly proposal that seeks to create a digital, nonlinear electronic news production center to be used by the broadcast journalism program. Although the committee cannot at this time fund the major expense of a digital camera and supporting equipment, the project is worthwhile. Purchase of the hardware and software for the AVID News Cutter XP System--\$13,225--is recommended. Maintaining institutional matching funding is strongly encouraged but not required.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 026UG-06

INSTITUTION: McNeese State University

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: MSU GIS Post Rita Reconstruction/Enhancement BoR
Grant Proposal

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 4 (of 5 points)
 A.3 4 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 5 (of 6 points)
 C.2 1 (of 1 point)
 C.3 2 (of 3 points)

**E. Economic and/or Cultural
Development and Impact**

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 1 (of 2 points)
 E.2a (For S/E)
 or (of 10 points)
 E.2b 8 (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 3 (of 5 points)
 B.2 12 (of 15 points)
 B.3 16 (of 20 points)
 B.4 4 (of 5 points)
 B.5 1 (of 2 points)
 B.6 4 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes _____ No X

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 12 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 3 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

H. Total Score: 80 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$108,825
 RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$36,072

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This proposal seeks funds to replace computers and other GIS laboratory equipment damaged during Hurricane Rita and to purchase additional technology. Although both requests have merit, their scope is beyond the present capabilities of the BoRSF. In an effort to place the GIS laboratory back on pre-Rita footing, the committee recommends a partial award of \$36,072. The PIs may use discretion in the purchase of the most necessary items. Matching funds may be reduced proportionally with the reduced budget recommendation.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 027UG-06

INSTITUTION: McNeese State University

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Acquisition of Smart Classrooms for the Department of
Family and Consumer Sciences at McNeese State University

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 5 (of 5 points)
 A.3 4 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 4 (of 6 points)
 C.2 1 (of 1 point)
 C.3 2 (of 3 points)

**E. Economic and/or Cultural
Development and Impact**

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 1 (of 2 points)
 E.2a (For S/E)
 or (of 10 points)
 E.2b 8 (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 4 (of 5 points)
 B.2 12 (of 15 points)
 B.3 16 (of 20 points)
 B.4 4 (of 5 points)
 B.5 2 (of 2 points)
 B.6 4 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes _____ No X

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 8 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 0 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

H. Total Score: 75 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$79,458
 RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$26,486

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

The applicants request funds to purchase computer and media equipment to increase from one to four the number of departmental Smart classrooms. The fact that there was no mention of Hurricane Rita's impact raises questions. Although the need may be justified, available funds permit a recommendation only for a partial award of \$26,486, to be used for hardware for one additional technologically enhanced classroom. Listing the existing Smart classroom as institutional match is inappropriate. The \$200 match for personnel training must be maintained in full.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 028UG-06

INSTITUTION: McNeese State University

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Enhancement of Undergraduate Education Through Research in Molecular Biology

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 5 (of 5 points)
 A.3 5 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 5 (of 6 points)
 C.2 1 (of 1 point)
 C.3 3 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
 E.2a 8 (For S/E)
 or (of 10 points)
 E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 5 (of 5 points)
 B.2 11 (of 15 points)
 B.3 16 (of 20 points)
 B.4 4 (of 5 points)
 B.5 2 (of 2 points)
 B.6 4 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 2 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes _____ No _____ X _____

H. Total Score: 83 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

	<u>YEAR 1</u>	<u>YEAR 2</u>
SPECIFIC BUDGETARY RECOMMENDATIONS:		
Requested Amount:	<u>\$70,438</u>	<u>\$4,903</u>
Recommended Amount:	<u>\$16,367</u>	<u>\$0</u>

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

McNeese's environmental biology faculty is developing a course in molecular biology supported by student research opportunities. The limited funds available will not permit funding the entire cost of the DNA analysis system. The DNA analysis system is much better suited to upper-level courses in molecular biology. The committee believes that the kits and equipment requested, other than the DNA analysis system, are more appropriate for the introductory-level molecular biology course and partial funding of \$16,367 is recommended for one year to cover these items. It also appears a little premature to prepare a SBIR application at the same time one is initiating an introductory course in molecular biology.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 029UG-06

INSTITUTION: Nicholls State University

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Upgrading Autoclave for Microbiology Education

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
A.2 5 (of 5 points)
A.3 5 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 6 (of 6 points)
C.2 1 (of 1 point)
C.3 1 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
E.2a 8 (For S/E)
or _____ (of 10 points)
E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 5 (of 5 points)
B.2 15 (of 15 points)
B.3 18 (of 20 points)
B.4 4 (of 5 points)
B.5 3 (of 2 points)
B.6 5 (of 5 points)
B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 0 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

H. Total Score:

88

 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$38,025
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$33,255

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

The committee recognizes the importance of a working autoclave in any microbiology laboratory. It is recommended that \$33,255 be awarded to Nicholls to purchase the requested autoclave. However, because of limited available funds, the digital imaging equipment cannot be recommended for funding at this time. Since no maintenance plan is indicated and there are no pledged matching funds, the committee requests that the University assume the responsibility for maintenance and service of the autoclave. This pledge should be submitted in writing during the contract negotiation period.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 030UG-06

INSTITUTION: Nicholls State University

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Enhancement of Cytotechnology Microscopic and Lecture-Guided Equipment

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 4 (of 5 points)
 A.3 4 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 5 (of 6 points)
 C.2 1 (of 1 point)
 C.3 2 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
 E.2a 8 (For S/E)
 or _____ (of 10 points)
 E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 4 (of 5 points)
 B.2 7 (of 15 points)
 B.3 10 (of 20 points)
 B.4 2 (of 5 points)
 B.5 2 (of 2 points)
 B.6 3 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes _____ No X

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 0 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes _____ No X

H. Total Score: 64 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$188,211
 RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$0

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

The number of students impacted by the proposed project does not seem to justify the substantial dollar amount requested. The number of units of equipment requested (10) exceeds the number of students (8) projected to use it. The committee suggests that the applicant rework the proposal and resubmit it at a later date. No funding is recommended.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT REQUESTS OTHER THAN EQUIPMENT PURCHASES

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 031UG-06

INSTITUTION: Nicholls State University

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Design and Implementation of Online Courses to Meet GenEd English Requirements in all Curriculums, to Replace Off-Campus Classes, to Provide Summer School Courses Online

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 2 (of 5 points)
 A.3 3 (of 5 points)

C. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 6 (of 12 points)

D. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 1 (of 2 points)
 E.2a _____ (For S/E)
 or _____ (of 10 points)
 E.2b 5 (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 62 Points)

B.1 4 (of 5 points)
 B.2 17 (of 20 points)
 B.3 8 (of 25 points)
 B.4 3 (of 5 points)
 B.5 1 (of 2 points)
 B.6 2 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes X No _____

E. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 0 (of 4 points)

F. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes _____ No X

G. Total Score: 52 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$48,634
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$0

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This is a fairly good plan for what the committee believes is a bad idea. In fact, the detailed implementation description makes clear what faculty everywhere have learned: Internet courses are more labor intensive than traditional ones. No evidence is presented that English faculty members now have extra time on their hands or that their professional development would be enhanced by monitoring student chat rooms on Sunday evenings. The goals of the proposal are also unfortunately lacking in measurements of pedagogical quality, focusing instead on the number of courses to be taught. No funding is recommended.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 032UG-06

INSTITUTION: Nicholls State University

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Upgrading Microscope Technology for Freshman Science Majors in Biology II (Species Diversity)

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
A.2 4 (of 5 points)
A.3 4 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 5 (of 6 points)
C.2 1 (of 1 point)
C.3 2 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 1 (of 2 points)
E.2a 10 (For S/E)
or (of 10 points)
E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

H. Total Score:

80

 (of 100 points)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 5 (of 5 points)
B.2 8 (of 15 points)
B.3 17 (of 20 points)
B.4 5 (of 5 points)
B.5 2 (of 2 points)
B.6 4 (of 5 points)
B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 12 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 0 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$20,130
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$20,130

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This request is for the purchase of new compound and dissecting microscopes in Nicholls' drive to continue to replace the 22-year-old microscopes. Full funding of \$20,130 is recommended to purchase 30 additional Swift compound microscopes and 15 stereomicroscopes.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 033UG-06

INSTITUTION: Nicholls State University

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Interactive Presentation Technologies in Support of the
Computer Information Systems Program at Nicholls State
University

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
A.2 3 (of 5 points)
A.3 4 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 4 (of 6 points)
C.2 1 (of 1 point)
C.3 3 (of 3 points)

**E. Economic and/or Cultural
Development and Impact**

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
E.2a 7 (For S/E)
or _____ (of 10 points)
E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

H. Total Score: 75 (of 100 points)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 5 (of 5 points)
B.2 12 (of 15 points)
B.3 15 (of 20 points)
B.4 4 (of 5 points)
B.5 1 (of 2 points)
B.6 4 (of 5 points)
B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 0 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$19,552
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$4,888

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This application would have been greatly improved if it had presented more detail in terms of the specific benefits of the proposed project. The committee believes that the impact of the equipment on the department will be relatively minor. However, one classroom can be outfitted with a recommendation of partial funding of \$4,888. The institutional match for personnel training should be maintained.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 034UG-06

INSTITUTION: Nicholls State University

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Maintaining and Enhancing Biological Fieldwork Capabilities
of the Department of Biological Sciences of Nicholls State
University

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No
 A.2 5 (of 5 points)
 A.3 5 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 6 (of 6 points)
 C.2 1 (of 1 point)
 C.3 5 (of 3 points)

**E. Economic and/or Cultural
Development and Impact**

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 1 (of 2 points)
 E.2a 8 (For S/E)
 or (of 10 points)
 E.2b (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 4 (of 5 points)
 B.2 10 (of 15 points)
 B.3 15 (of 20 points)
 B.4 4 (of 5 points)
 B.5 2 (of 2 points)
 B.6 4 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes X No

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 12 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 0 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No

H. Total Score: 82 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY	Requested Amount:	<u> \$16,115 </u>
RECOMMENDATIONS:	Recommended Amount:	<u> \$16,115 </u>

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This request for a safe, reliable small boat for use by students on field trips to inland water bodies and small waterways is well justified. The committee recommends full funding of \$16,115.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 035UG-06

INSTITUTION: Nicholls State University

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Technology-Equipped Classrooms Allowing for Multi-Media
Work in Languages and Literature

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 3 (of 5 points)
 A.3 3 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 5 (of 6 points)
 C.2 1 (of 1 point)
 C.3 3 (of 3 points)

**E. Economic and/or Cultural
Development and Impact**

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 1 (of 2 points)
 E.2a (For S/E)
 or (of 10 points)
 E.2b 5 (For NS/NE)

H. Total Score: 67 (of 100 points)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 4 (of 5 points)
 B.2 10 (of 15 points)
 B.3 12 (of 20 points)
 B.4 4 (of 5 points)
 B.5 1 (of 2 points)
 B.6 4 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 8 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 3 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes _____ No _____ X _____

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$99,114
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$0

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

The project is reasonable and well planned, but there is nothing in replacing an aging computer lab that is likely to significantly upgrade the English program or produce innovations. Schools have had time to assess the cost-effectiveness of such facilities, and periodic renovations should be part of their budgeting process. The proposal would also benefit from a discussion of discipline-related, measurable objectives (i.e., grammar improvement), rather than its total focus on simply using technology. No funding is recommended.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 036UG-06

INSTITUTION: Nicholls State University

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Enhancing Interactive and Intercultural Education at Nicholls State University

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 4 (of 5 points)
 A.3 5 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 5 (of 6 points)
 C.2 0 (of 1 point)
 C.3 2 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
 E.2a (For S/E)
 or (of 10 points)
 E.2b 7 (For NS/NE)

H. Total Score: 76 (of 100 points)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 4 (of 5 points)
 B.2 10 (of 15 points)
 B.3 15 (of 20 points)
 B.4 5 (of 5 points)
 B.5 2 (of 2 points)
 B.6 4 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 1 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes _____ No _____ X _____

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$95,845
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$63,000

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This proposal suffers from its failure to follow the prescribed format, but compensates by having a clear, discipline-related idea of what it hopes to accomplish. Funding of \$63,000 is recommended for the classroom. This will require some reductions from the proposed budget, and the PIs should make these choices or seek a larger institutional match, keeping in mind that the proposal's strongest feature is its promise to put language students in direct contact with foreign cultures electronically. Funding for faculty development is not recommended; this likewise may be accomplished via the Internet. Funds for student mobility are also not recommended. Though it is a worthy project, the latter requests are beyond the scope of Undergraduate Enhancement program resources. Pledged matching funds should be maintained in full.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 037UG-06

INSTITUTION: Nicholls State University

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Enhancing Comparative Physiology Education

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
A.2 4 (of 5 points)
A.3 4 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 6 (of 6 points)
C.2 1 (of 1 point)
C.3 3 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 1 (of 2 points)
E.2a 9 (For S/E)
or (of 10 points)
E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 5 (of 5 points)
B.2 15 (of 15 points)
B.3 18 (of 20 points)
B.4 4 (of 5 points)
B.5 2 (of 2 points)
B.6 4 (of 5 points)
B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 12 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 0 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

H. Total Score:

88

 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$30,123
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$30,123

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This proposal is written to secure funds for equipment to enhance instruction in comparative physiology. Because the need for the equipment is well justified, the committee recommends full funding of \$30,123.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 038UG-06

INSTITUTION: Northwestern State University

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Enhancing Student Learning Through Utilization of Advanced Technologies in Field Studies on Wetlands and Aquatic Ecosystems

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No
 A.2 5 (of 5 points)
 A.3 5 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 6 (of 6 points)
 C.2 1 (of 1 point)
 C.3 3 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
 E.2a 7 (For S/E)
 or (of 10 points)
 E.2b (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 4 (of 5 points)
 B.2 10 (of 15 points)
 B.3 18 (of 20 points)
 B.4 5 (of 5 points)
 B.5 2 (of 2 points)
 B.6 4 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes X No

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 3 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes No X

H. Total Score: 85 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY	Requested Amount:	<u>\$102,764</u>
RECOMMENDATIONS:	Recommended Amount:	<u>\$73,961</u>

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

The proposal, including its justification for advanced technologies to be incorporated into the field research studies on wetlands and aquatic ecosystems at the Aquaculture Research Center (ARC), is well written. The committee recommends a reduction in the number of probes from six to four in the water monitoring system, which should reduce its cost to \$24,798. Partial funding at \$73,961 would then permit purchase of the water monitoring system and the remaining equipment requested. Matching funds pledged should be fully maintained.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 039UG-06

INSTITUTION: Northwestern State University

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Creating Portable Electronic English Classrooms

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
A.2 3 (of 5 points)
A.3 4 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 5 (of 6 points)
C.2 1 (of 1 point)
C.3 3 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 1 (of 2 points)
E.2a 5 (For S/E)
or _____ (of 10 points)
E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 3 (of 5 points)
B.2 13 (of 15 points)
B.3 15 (of 20 points)
B.4 4 (of 5 points)
B.5 1 (of 2 points)
B.6 4 (of 5 points)
B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 3 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

H. Total Score:

75

 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$39,368
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$10,514

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This proposal is weak regarding how the requested equipment would enhance traditional academic goals, but it does present evidence that current equipment is being used by faculty. Partial funding of \$10,514 is therefore recommended for two additional equipped carts. The department is encouraged to reapply when faculty using this equipment can state specifically the types of student outcomes that are supported by using the technology. Matching funds should be fully maintained.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 040UG-06

INSTITUTION: Nunez Community College

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Equipment Enhancement for Industrial Technology Students

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No
 A.2 5 (of 5 points)
 A.3 5 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 2 (of 6 points)
 C.2 1 (of 1 point)
 C.3 1 (of 3 points)

**E. Economic and/or Cultural
Development and Impact**

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
 E.2a 10 (For S/E)
 or (of 10 points)
 E.2b (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 0 (of 5 points)
 B.2 5 (of 15 points)
 B.3 10 (of 20 points)
 B.4 5 (of 5 points)
 B.5 2 (of 2 points)
 B.6 5 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes No X

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 6 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 0 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No

H. Total Score: 59 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$304,932
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$0

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

The rationale for the project is excellent: providing simulation and training tools for students in the process technology concentration. However, the proposal seems to have been written hastily; many important details were omitted. For example, the proposal does not provide enough details of the costs of the largest component requested, the total trainer. The committee believes that the proposal could be significantly improved and that the potential economic impact could be positive to the State. The PI is strongly encouraged to resubmit the proposal in the next round of funding, since funding cannot be recommended as the proposal stands.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 041UG-06

INSTITUTION: Our Lady of the Lake College

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Project Enhancement of Forensic Science in the Undergraduate Curriculum

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 4 (of 5 points)
 A.3 4 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 6 (of 6 points)
 C.2 0 (of 1 point)
 C.3 3 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
 E.2a 5 (For S/E)
 or (of 10 points)
 E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 5 (of 5 points)
 B.2 10 (of 15 points)
 B.3 15 (of 20 points)
 B.4 3 (of 5 points)
 B.5 1 (of 2 points)
 B.6 4 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 12 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 4 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

H. Total Score: 78 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

	YEAR 1	YEAR 2
SPECIFIC BUDGETARY RECOMMENDATIONS:	Requested Amount: <u> \$25,000 </u>	Requested Amount: <u> \$50,000 </u>
	Recommended Amount: <u> \$25,000 </u>	Recommended Amount: <u> \$0 </u>

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

The applicant requests equipment to enhance instruction in forensic sciences to better prepare students for the workplace. The equipment will also impact students in chemistry and biology and encourage faculty and student research. Although the GC-M spectrophotometer is very specialized and beyond the scope of funding available, partial funding of \$25,000 is recommended in the first year to purchase the infrared spectrophotometer. The matching funds pledged should be fully maintained in the first year.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 042UG-06

INSTITUTION: South Louisiana Community College

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Equipment Enhancement for South Louisiana Community College Biology Students

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
A.2 5 (of 5 points)
A.3 5 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 5 (of 6 points)
C.2 1 (of 1 point)
C.3 3 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
E.2a 8 (For S/E)
or (of 10 points)
E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

H. Total Score:

78

 (of 100 points)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 4 (of 5 points)
B.2 12 (of 15 points)
B.3 15 (of 20 points)
B.4 4 (of 5 points)
B.5 2 (of 2 points)
B.6 3 (of 5 points)
B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 9 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 0 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes _____ No _____ X _____

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$29,523
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$21,000

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This request was written to provide equipment for the Biology I and Biology II laboratories. The equipment is to be shared by the Lafayette and New Iberia campuses of SLCC. The need is justified and partial funding of \$21,000 for some equipment is recommended. The committee recommends that the PIs purchase desktop computers at a cost of approximately \$1,000 each. The committee also recommends that the A.D.A.M. Interactive Anatomy software be deleted because it is better suited for anatomy and physiology courses.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 043UG-06

INSTITUTION: Southeastern Louisiana University

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: The Development of an Interdisciplinary Lab for Collaborative Activities in CS/IT

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 5 (of 5 points)
 A.3 4 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 5 (of 6 points)
 C.2 1 (of 1 point)
 C.3 3 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
 E.2a 8 (For S/E)
 or _____ (of 10 points)
 E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

H. Total Score: 84 (of 100 points)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 5 (of 5 points)
 B.2 13 (of 15 points)
 B.3 15 (of 20 points)
 B.4 5 (of 5 points)
 B.5 2 (of 2 points)
 B.6 2 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 4 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY **Requested Amount:** \$30,420
RECOMMENDATIONS: **Recommended Amount:** \$20,000

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This is a well-thought-out grant application in which equipment costs are reasonable. The various items requested will be used in numerous places in the curriculum, aid in research, and help the local and State economies. The institutional match is significant. Partial funding of \$20,000 is recommended. The pledged match should be fully maintained.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 044UG-06

INSTITUTION: Southeastern Louisiana University

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Rapid Prototyping to Help Recovering and Emerging
Businesses and Industries Solve Technical Design Problems

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 5 (of 5 points)
 A.3 5 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 6 (of 6 points)
 C.2 1 (of 1 point)
 C.3 3 (of 3 points)

**E. Economic and/or Cultural
Development and Impact**

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
 E.2a 5 (For S/E)
 or _____ (of 10 points)
 E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 5 (of 5 points)
 B.2 15 (of 15 points)
 B.3 15 (of 20 points)
 B.4 5 (of 5 points)
 B.5 2 (of 2 points)
 B.6 3 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 8 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 4 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

H. Total Score: 84 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY	Requested Amount:	<u> \$164,969 </u>
RECOMMENDATIONS:	Recommended Amount:	<u> \$72,936 </u>

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This is an ideal proposal from SLU. The project should greatly enhance engineering education by permitting students to work on hands-on projects with local industries. Simultaneously, both the hurricane-impacted industries and SLU will benefit from rapid prototyping. Due to budget limitations, however, the committee recommends removing the salary and the stratasys modeling system (the most expensive piece of equipment) from the budget and recommends partial funding of \$72,936. The institutional match should be maintained in full.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT REQUESTS OTHER THAN EQUIPMENT PURCHASES

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 045UG-06

INSTITUTION: Southeastern Louisiana University

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Louisiana Teachers of Psychology (LAToP)

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 3 (of 5 points)
 A.3 3 (of 5 points)

C. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 7 (of 12 points)

D. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
 E.2a _____ (For S/E)
 or _____ (of 10 points)
 E.2b 8 (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 62 Points)

B.1 3 (of 5 points)
 B.2 13 (of 20 points)
 B.3 16 (of 25 points)
 B.4 3 (of 5 points)
 B.5 1 (of 2 points)
 B.6 3 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes X No _____

E. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 2 (of 4 points)

F. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes _____ No X

G. Total Score: 64 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY **Requested Amount:** \$21,218
RECOMMENDATIONS: **Recommended Amount:** \$0

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

In an effort to address the needs of high school educators, LAToP professes to develop a regional network of psychology teachers and students and connect it to SLU's Department of Psychology. The project is problematic in that it is geared more to K-12. It appears to add little value to college students, and no funding is recommended.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 046UG-06

INSTITUTION: Southeastern Louisiana University

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: A Comprehensive Biology Learning Laboratory for Enhancing Undergraduate Education with Supplemental Instruction and Tutoring

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 4 (of 5 points)
 A.3 4 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 3 (of 6 points)
 C.2 1 (of 1 point)
 C.3 3 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
 E.2a 5 (For S/E)
 or _____ (of 10 points)
 E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 4 (of 5 points)
 B.2 12 (of 15 points)
 B.3 10 (of 20 points)
 B.4 4 (of 5 points)
 B.5 2 (of 2 points)
 B.6 2 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes _____ No X

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 4 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes _____ No X

H. Total Score: 70 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$63,943
 RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$0

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

The practice of using tutors to enhance student learning is well accepted. However, this proposal to incorporate some of the technology requested seems rather ambitious. The use of cameras to connect faculty with the learning laboratory after lab hours and on weekends is not well justified. The committee believes that the learning laboratory is a good concept that should be reworked for submission in another proposal at a later time. No funding can be recommended for this project at this time.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 047UG-06

INSTITUTION: Southeastern Louisiana University

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: The Need to Upgrade an Antiquated Operational System for a Philips XL 20 Scanning Electron Microscope

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
A.2 5 (of 5 points)
A.3 5 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 6 (of 6 points)
C.2 1 (of 1 point)
C.3 3 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 1 (of 2 points)
E.2a 7 (For S/E)
or (of 10 points)
E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

H. Total Score:

81

 (of 100 points)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 5 (of 5 points)
B.2 11 (of 15 points)
B.3 18 (of 20 points)
B.4 3 (of 5 points)
B.5 2 (of 2 points)
B.6 4 (of 5 points)
B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 0 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$21,580
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$21,580

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

Southeastern Louisiana University is requesting funds to upgrade the computer and software systems to prepare for the scanning electron microscope donated by McNeese State University. The SEM is in excellent working condition and this upgrade will produce an instrument that has great potential for positively impacting biology courses and student/faculty research. Full funding is recommended.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 048UG-06

INSTITUTION: Southeastern Louisiana University

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Group Decision Support Systems Computer Laboratory for Service Learning and Community Enhancement in Information Systems Classes

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
A.2 3 (of 5 points)
A.3 3 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 3 (of 6 points)
C.2 1 (of 1 point)
C.3 2 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
E.2a 8 (For S/E)
or (of 10 points)
E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 5 (of 5 points)
B.2 12 (of 15 points)
B.3 18 (of 20 points)
B.4 4 (of 5 points)
B.5 2 (of 2 points)
B.6 3 (of 5 points)
B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 1 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

H. Total Score:

77

 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$79,107
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$42,000

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

The applicant did an impressive job of combining many of the RFP requests: new technology, new curriculum, matching funds, economic outreach, and support from the local business community. In addition to its grant-specific benefits, the equipment will directly help the institution as a whole. The funding recommendation includes \$24,500 for more reasonable hardware purchases, and for purchasing the GDSS software and MS Project software. It is recommended that SLU consider using a non-laptop server mounted on a portable cart with the laser printer instead of trying to host with a high-end laptop. The committee also recommends that SLU maintain the pledged match for equipment and personnel costs.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 049UG-06

INSTITUTION: Southeastern Louisiana University

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Enhancing a Molecular Biotechnology Teaching Lab at Southeastern

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
A.2 4 (of 5 points)
A.3 4 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 5 (of 6 points)
C.2 1 (of 1 point)
C.3 3 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
E.2a 8 (For S/E)
or (of 10 points)
E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

H. Total Score:

80

 (of 100 points)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 5 (of 5 points)
B.2 12 (of 15 points)
B.3 15 (of 20 points)
B.4 3 (of 5 points)
B.5 2 (of 2 points)
B.6 4 (of 5 points)
B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 2 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$23,163
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$23,163

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This proposal was written for funding to equip a modern molecular biology laboratory. The equipment will be used primarily for teaching, but will impact student and faculty research as well. The committee recommends full funding.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT REQUESTS OTHER THAN EQUIPMENT PURCHASES

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 050UG-06

INSTITUTION: Southern University and A&M College at New Orleans

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Applied Statistics Enhancement Project

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 3 (of 5 points)
 A.3 4 (of 5 points)

C. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 8 (of 12 points)

D. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
 E.2a _____ (For S/E)
 or _____ (of 10 points)
 E.2b 7 (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 62 Points)

B.1 4 (of 5 points)
 B.2 15 (of 20 points)
 B.3 18 (of 25 points)
 B.4 4 (of 5 points)
 B.5 2 (of 2 points)
 B.6 3 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes _____ No X

E. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 0 (of 4 points)

F. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes _____ No X

G. Total Score: 70 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

	<u>YEAR 1</u>	<u>YEAR 2</u>
SPECIFIC BUDGETARY RECOMMENDATIONS:	Requested Amount:	Requested Amount:
	<u>\$28,667</u>	<u>\$6,000</u>
	Recommended Amount:	Recommended Amount:
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

Although the need for the limited equipment requested is apparent and the plan to involve social sciences students in an applied statistics project has merit, this proposal is not well presented. Mistakes include checking off a non-equipment request on the proposal cover page when the proposal clearly is equipment-related, many typographical errors, poor writing, and several other errors. These mistakes detracted from its effectiveness, as did the total lack of institutional support. A more carefully prepared proposal would warrant consideration; however, in its present form, this application merits no funding.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT REQUESTS OTHER THAN EQUIPMENT PURCHASES

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 051UG-06

INSTITUTION: Southern University and A&M College at New Orleans

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Technology Infrastructure Enhancement and Sustainment for the Humanities, Social Sciences, Biological Sciences, and Computer Information Systems (CIS) Departments

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 2 (of 5 points)
 A.3 2 (of 5 points)

C. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 9 (of 12 points)

D. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 1 (of 2 points)
 E.2a _____ (For S/E)
 or _____ (of 10 points)
 E.2b 5 (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 62 Points)

B.1 3 (of 5 points)
 B.2 8 (of 20 points)
 B.3 12 (of 25 points)
 B.4 3 (of 5 points)
 B.5 2 (of 2 points)
 B.6 4 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes X No _____

E. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 2 (of 4 points)

F. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes _____ No X

G. Total Score: 53 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

		<u>YEAR 1</u>	<u>YEAR 2</u>
SPECIFIC BUDGETARY RECOMMENDATIONS:	Requested Amount:	<u>\$87,500</u>	<u>\$50,000</u>
	Recommended Amount:	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

The rationale for the project and its impact on existing resources and affected programs is extremely vague. As the committee sees it, the basic problem is that the proposal seeks to fund central functions of the University's computing infrastructure from the bottom up, through the departments. It also seeks to fund recurring personnel expenses through a one-time award, and the promise that the project will become self-sustaining is not adequately substantiated. No funding is recommended.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 052UG-06

INSTITUTION: Southern University and A&M College at New Orleans

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Enhancing Social Sciences Academic Infrastructure by
Providing Technology

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 3 (of 5 points)
 A.3 3 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 4 (of 6 points)
 C.2 1 (of 1 point)
 C.3 2 (of 3 points)

**E. Economic and/or Cultural
Development and Impact**

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 1 (of 2 points)
 E.2a _____ (For S/E)
 or _____ (of 10 points)
 E.2b 7 (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 3 (of 5 points)
 B.2 8 (of 15 points)
 B.3 14 (of 20 points)
 B.4 3 (of 5 points)
 B.5 2 (of 2 points)
 B.6 3 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes _____ No X

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 7 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 2 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes _____ No _____ X

H. Total Score: 63 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$77,397
 RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$0

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

In its effort to create a multimedia classroom by replacing and enhancing certain technologies, this proposal, which does not strictly conform to BoR guidelines, requests too much, especially in the uncertain institutional environment after Katrina. Overreliance on educational videos is discouraged. The committee cannot recommend funding at this time, but encourages the PIs to submit a significantly reduced, better organized request when funds are available in another cycle.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 053UG-06

INSTITUTION: Southern University and A&M College at New Orleans

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Computer Information Systems Mobile Lab (CISML)

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
A.2 5 (of 5 points)
A.3 4 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 3 (of 6 points)
C.2 0 (of 1 point)
C.3 0 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 0 (of 2 points)
E.2a 0 (For S/E)
or _____ (of 10 points)
E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 3 (of 5 points)
B.2 8 (of 15 points)
B.3 12 (of 20 points)
B.4 3 (of 5 points)
B.5 0 (of 2 points)
B.6 3 (of 5 points)
B.7 Yes _____ No X

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 0 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes _____ No _____

H. Total Score:

51

 (of 100 points)

(This information was not provided.)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$60,799
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$0

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This proposal has serious deficiencies, most notably the omission of Sections E, F, and G. Section B was weak, leaving the committee with considerable doubts that such an award would be appropriate this year. However, the general idea is reasonable and should be revisited when the deficiencies noted are more thoughtfully addressed.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 054UG-06

INSTITUTION: Southern University and A&M College at New Orleans

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Teaching and Computer Laboratories and Online Instruction in Psychology

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
A.2 4 (of 5 points)
A.3 4 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 5 (of 6 points)
C.2 1 (of 1 point)
C.3 2 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 1 (of 2 points)
E.2a _____ (For S/E)
or _____ (of 10 points)
E.2b 7 (For NS/NE)

H. Total Score:

75

 (of 100 points)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 4 (of 5 points)
B.2 13 (of 15 points)
B.3 15 (of 20 points)
B.4 4 (of 5 points)
B.5 2 (of 2 points)
B.6 4 (of 5 points)
B.7 Yes _____ No X

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 9 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 0 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes _____ No X

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$26,970
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$26,970

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This proposal seeks basic equipment for two purposes: to replace technology in both teaching and computer laboratories destroyed by flooding, and to facilitate the development of on-line psychology courses. The requests are modest, well justified, and clearly itemized. Despite current institutional uncertainties, full funding is recommended.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 055UG-06

INSTITUTION: Southern University and A&M College at New Orleans

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: The Infusion of Virtual Reality and Entrepreneurship

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
A.2 2 (of 5 points)
A.3 3 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 4 (of 6 points)
C.2 1 (of 1 point)
C.3 2 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
E.2a 8 (For S/E)
or _____ (of 10 points)
E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 4 (of 5 points)
B.2 10 (of 15 points)
B.3 12 (of 20 points)
B.4 3 (of 5 points)
B.5 2 (of 2 points)
B.6 3 (of 5 points)
B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 11 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 0 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

H. Total Score:

67

 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$47,698
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$0

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This is an interesting proposal, but it seems better suited to business than computer science. Also, while the project's plan has some economic benefits for the surrounding community, because all the resources are being funneled for use by a relatively small number of students with diminished chances of benefitting the rest of the institution, it seems to be a weak choice for funding at this point. Thus, none is recommended.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 056UG-06

INSTITUTION: Southern University and A&M College at New Orleans

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Enhancement of Biology Classroom Facilities at SUNO

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 4 (of 5 points)
 A.3 4 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 4 (of 6 points)
 C.2 1 (of 1 point)
 C.3 1 (of 3 points)

**E. Economic and/or Cultural
Development and Impact**

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
 E.2a 6 (For S/E)
 or _____ (of 10 points)
 E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 3 (of 5 points)
 B.2 10 (of 15 points)
 B.3 15 (of 20 points)
 B.4 5 (of 5 points)
 B.5 2 (of 2 points)
 B.6 3 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 2 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

H. Total Score: 72 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$22,000
 RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$0

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This request is extremely vague regarding the uses to which the requested computers will be put. There is no link to student outcomes, and the evaluation is based on asking the students, "For what did you use computers?" No funding can be recommended for this project.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 057UG-06

INSTITUTION: Southern University and A&M College at New Orleans

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Enhancement of Biology Infrastructure at SUNO Through
Integration of SMART/Symposium Technologies

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 3 (of 5 points)
 A.3 3 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 4 (of 6 points)
 C.2 1 (of 1 point)
 C.3 2 (of 3 points)

**E. Economic and/or Cultural
Development and Impact**

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 1 (of 2 points)
 E.2a 5 (For S/E)
 or _____ (of 10 points)
 E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

H. Total Score: 70 (of 100 points)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 3 (of 5 points)
 B.2 12 (of 15 points)
 B.3 13 (of 20 points)
 B.4 4 (of 5 points)
 B.5 2 (of 2 points)
 B.6 4 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes _____ No X

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 3 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes _____ No X

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$50,545
 RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$0

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This request is vague regarding student objectives and use of each item requested, thus funding it partially would be difficult. The assessment section basically involves asking students how they liked the technologies. The proposal is not recommended for funding. However, the applicants are encouraged to explore Web-based collaborative software systems offered for free that would permit experiments at a reduced level of functionality. Data from such experiments would allow applicants to rework this proposal for future submission.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 058UG-06

INSTITUTION: Southern University and A&M College at New Orleans

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Enhancement of the Computer Laboratory Facility for
Biology Instruction at SUNO

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 5 (of 5 points)
 A.3 5 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 5 (of 6 points)
 C.2 1 (of 1 point)
 C.3 3 (of 3 points)

**E. Economic and/or Cultural
Development and Impact**

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
 E.2a 8 (For S/E)
 or _____ (of 10 points)
 E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 4 (of 5 points)
 B.2 12 (of 15 points)
 B.3 15 (of 20 points)
 B.4 5 (of 5 points)
 B.5 2 (of 2 points)
 B.6 5 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 0 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

H. Total Score: 82 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY	Requested Amount:	<u> \$72,306 </u>
RECOMMENDATIONS:	Recommended Amount:	<u> \$50,000 </u>

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This well-prepared proposal has the potential for significant student impact. It aligns well with departmental goals and objectives and deserves full funding. However, in an attempt to fund the large number of high-quality proposals received, the committee recommends partial funding of \$50,000. The PIs may use discretion in the selection of equipment to upgrade the computer facility. Prices for computers are too high, and ordinary office furniture (chairs) is disallowed in the RFP. The match for equipment may be reduced proportionally with the recommendation to reduce the budget, i.e., by 30%.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 059UG-06

INSTITUTION: Southern University and A&M College at New Orleans

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Enhancement of Microbiology, Cell and Molecular Biology, and Ecology Teaching and Research Infrastructure at Southern University at New Orleans

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
A.2 5 (of 5 points)
A.3 5 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 5 (of 6 points)
C.2 1 (of 1 point)
C.3 2 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
E.2a 8 (For S/E)
or (of 10 points)
E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 5 (of 5 points)
B.2 12 (of 15 points)
B.3 16 (of 20 points)
B.4 5 (of 5 points)
B.5 2 (of 2 points)
B.6 5 (of 5 points)
B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 2 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

H. Total Score:

85

 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$38,632
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$38,632

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This request to enhance the infrastructure for teaching and research in the disciplines of microbiology, cell and molecular biology, and ecology is well justified and thus convincing. This well-prepared proposal carefully explains the equipment requested and demonstrates the impact it will have on faculty and students. The committee recommends full funding of \$38,632.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 060UG-06

INSTITUTION: Southern University and A&M College at New Orleans

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Enhancement of Anatomy and Physiology Teaching and Research Infrastructure

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
A.2 4 (of 5 points)
A.3 4 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 4 (of 6 points)
C.2 1 (of 1 point)
C.3 3 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
E.2a 5 (For S/E)
or (of 10 points)
E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

H. Total Score:

72

 (of 100 points)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 5 (of 5 points)
B.2 10 (of 15 points)
B.3 13 (of 20 points)
B.4 4 (of 5 points)
B.5 2 (of 2 points)
B.6 4 (of 5 points)
B.7 Yes _____ No X

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 1 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes _____ No X

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$147,520
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$0

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

The proposal's explanation of student involvement and outcomes is weak. The only measurement of outcomes presented is "use of equipment." It is obvious that the project's focus is primarily on research rather than on infrastructural enhancement which would have a broad impact. The proposal is not recommended for funding.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT REQUESTS OTHER THAN EQUIPMENT PURCHASES

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 061UG-06

INSTITUTION: Southern University and A&M College at New Orleans

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: SUNO-SSW Faculty Development: Trauma Healing and
and Conflict Transformation

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 3 (of 5 points)
 A.3 3 (of 5 points)

C. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 9 (of 12 points)

**D. Economic and/or Cultural
Development and Impact**

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
 E.2a _____ (For S/E)
 or _____ (of 10 points)
 E.2b 7 (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 62 Points)

B.1 3 (of 5 points)
 B.2 14 (of 20 points)
 B.3 16 (of 25 points)
 B.4 4 (of 5 points)
 B.5 1 (of 2 points)
 B.6 3 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes X No _____

E. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 3 (of 4 points)

F. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes _____ No X

G. Total Score: 68 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY **Requested Amount:** \$57,387
RECOMMENDATIONS: **Recommended Amount:** \$0

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This project proposes to significantly enhance the SUNO School of Social Work's capabilities in dealing with traumatic stress and conflict prevention/resolution. In principle it appears worthwhile, but the cost and scope of the plan should have been dramatically revised in the wake of Katrina. No funding is recommended at this time given institutional uncertainties. However, it is recommended that a scaled-down proposal that further clarifies the various objectives, discusses the other faculty who will participate, more clearly itemizes the budget, and more fully conforms to BoR guidelines be submitted at the next opportunity.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 062UG-06

INSTITUTION: Southern University and A&M College at New Orleans

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: SUNO Humanities Research Infrastructure Enhancement Project

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 3 (of 5 points)
 A.3 3 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 5 (of 6 points)
 C.2 1 (of 1 point)
 C.3 2 (of 3 points)

**E. Economic and/or Cultural
Development and Impact**

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
 E.2a 7 (For S/E)
 or _____ (of 10 points)
 E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

H. Total Score: 79 (of 100 points)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 3 (of 5 points)
 B.2 16 (of 15 points)
 B.3 15 (of 20 points)
 B.4 4 (of 5 points)
 B.5 2 (of 2 points)
 B.6 4 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 9 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 3 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY	Requested Amount:	<u> \$106,658 </u>
RECOMMENDATIONS:	Recommended Amount:	<u> \$60,000 </u>

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

The proposal is sensible, worthwhile, and cost-effective. It would replace or augment scholarly resources and make them more widely available to students and faculty. Since the committee is unable to recommend funding it fully, reductions should be made, more or less proportionately, in the library workstations and subscriptions, with the equipment necessary for remote access remaining intact. These budget reductions can best be made by those familiar with the library's layout and usage patterns and faculty who will be using the new resources. An award of \$60,000 is recommended. The pledged match may be reduced proportionally with the reduced budget recommendation.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 063UG-06

INSTITUTION: Southern University and A&M College at New Orleans

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Enhancement of Classroom Instructions Via Integrating
Technology into Classroom

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 4 (of 5 points)
 A.3 4 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 4 (of 6 points)
 C.2 1 (of 1 point)
 C.3 3 (of 3 points)

**E. Economic and/or Cultural
Development and Impact**

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 1 (of 2 points)
 E.2a 6 (For S/E)
 or _____ (of 10 points)
 E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

H. Total Score: 77 (of 100 points)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 5 (of 5 points)
 B.2 12 (of 15 points)
 B.3 15 (of 20 points)
 B.4 5 (of 5 points)
 B.5 1 (of 2 points)
 B.6 5 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 11 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 0 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY	Requested Amount:	<u> \$48,209 </u>
RECOMMENDATIONS:	Recommended Amount:	<u> \$32,000 </u>

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This proposal requests funds to provide a mobile lab that will bring the maximum amount of computing resources to the maximum number of students, yet avoids the "technology for technology's sake" trap in its arguments. It also provides a system that can be used daily even if classes are not being taught in normal locations. The recommended amount of \$32,000 should provide for the purchase of a full set of 30 (more reasonably priced) laptops and a cart, with the institution being responsible for providing the Cisco WAP (or equivalent) professional access point.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 064UG-06

INSTITUTION: Southern University and A&M College at New Orleans

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Enhancement by Providing the Infrastructure to Prepare
Students for Professional/Managerial Certification

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 4 (of 5 points)
 A.3 2 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 4 (of 6 points)
 C.2 1 (of 1 point)
 C.3 2 (of 3 points)

**E. Economic and/or Cultural
Development and Impact**

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
 E.2a 9 (For S/E)
 or _____ (of 10 points)
 E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 5 (of 5 points)
 B.2 12 (of 15 points)
 B.3 12 (of 20 points)
 B.4 3 (of 5 points)
 B.5 2 (of 2 points)
 B.6 3 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 8 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 0 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

H. Total Score: 69 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY	Requested Amount:	<u>\$169,406</u>
RECOMMENDATIONS:	Recommended Amount:	<u>\$0</u>

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This is a worthwhile proposal that should provide a valuable service to SUNO students and directly benefit the region economically by providing applied CS/IS topics and extra certifications for their graduates in disciplines that are needed. The business-related aspects of the proposal seem to be quite well thought out. There is some concern that the applied topics may take away from core subjects, and a proposal assuring that those materials would augment and not replace materials would have been appreciated. No funding can be recommended at this time. This is an extremely aggressive proposal considering the institutional uncertainties at this time, and funding it would most likely result in a net diversion of resources away from the effort to restore the core of the department. Applicants are encouraged to reapply once the normal operations of the college have resumed.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 065UG-06

INSTITUTION: University of Louisiana at Monroe

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Incorporation of Computer Imaging Technology into the Microbiology and Cell Biology Curriculum

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
A.2 4 (of 5 points)
A.3 4 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 5 (of 6 points)
C.2 1 (of 1 point)
C.3 3 (of 3 points)

E. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
E.2a 8 (For S/E)
or (of 10 points)
E.2b _____ (For NS/NE)

H. Total Score:

80

 (of 100 points)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 4 (of 5 points)
B.2 10 (of 15 points)
B.3 15 (of 20 points)
B.4 4 (of 5 points)
B.5 2 (of 2 points)
B.6 4 (of 5 points)
B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 4 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$46,900
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$27,600

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

Funding for additional equipment to continue to extend computer-assisted instruction and image processing techniques across the biology curriculum is being requested. The department is building on equipment received through previous BoRSF grants. The committee recommends partial funding of \$27,600. The PIs may use discretion in the selection of equipment to continue the upgrade in technology. Pledged matching funds should be fully maintained.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT REQUESTS OTHER THAN EQUIPMENT PURCHASES

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 066UG-06

INSTITUTION: Xavier University

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Constructing a Departmental Community of Scholars: A Paradigm for Collaborative Undergraduate Research

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 3 (of 5 points)
 A.3 3 (of 5 points)

C. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

D. Economic and/or Cultural Development and Impact

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
 E.2a _____ (For S/E)
 or _____ (of 10 points)
 E.2b 8 (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 62 Points)

B.1 4 (of 5 points)
 B.2 16 (of 20 points)
 B.3 18 (of 25 points)
 B.4 4 (of 5 points)
 B.5 2 (of 2 points)
 B.6 3 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes _____ No X

E. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 2 (of 4 points)

F. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

G. Total Score: 75 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

		<u>YEAR 1</u>	<u>YEAR 2</u>
SPECIFIC BUDGETARY RECOMMENDATIONS:	Requested Amount:	<u>\$86,595</u>	<u>\$26,647</u>
	Recommended Amount:	<u>\$20,000</u>	<u>\$0</u>

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This costly, wide-ranging, and perhaps over-reaching proposal that centers upon faculty-student research collaboration is extremely top-heavy in its request for stipends. Given the institution's post-hurricane uncertainties, the committee cannot endorse most of these aspects of the project. Our recommendation is to provide \$20,000 in funding for those timely portions focusing upon Drs. Gendrin and Louis' Katrina-related research. It is also recommended that a scaled-down request be resubmitted when Xavier's situation stabilizes.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 067UG-06

INSTITUTION: Xavier University

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: The Center for the Study of Languages and Cultures

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No _____
 A.2 4 (of 5 points)
 A.3 3 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 5 (of 6 points)
 C.2 1 (of 1 point)
 C.3 1 (of 3 points)

**E. Economic and/or Cultural
Development and Impact**

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
 E.2a _____ (For S/E)
 or _____ (of 10 points)
 E.2b 9 (For NS/NE)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 4 (of 5 points)
 B.2 16 (of 15 points)
 B.3 18 (of 20 points)
 B.4 5 (of 5 points)
 B.5 2 (of 2 points)
 B.6 4 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes X No _____

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 10 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 2 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No _____

H. Total Score: 86 (of 100 points)

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

		<u>YEAR 1</u>	<u>YEAR 2</u>
SPECIFIC BUDGETARY RECOMMENDATIONS:	Requested Amount:	<u>\$120,765</u>	<u>\$0</u>
	Recommended Amount:	<u>\$87,000</u>	<u>\$0</u>

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This is one of the rare proposals that advances an innovative idea with a thoughtful, practical plan for implementing it and measuring its success. While the committee simply cannot afford to recommend full funding, it is hoped that additional hurricane-related funds will become available to cover such costs as renovation and wiring. When making reductions, the PIs should keep in mind that the international learning communities are the best feature of the proposal. All furniture should be disallowed from the recommendation of partial funding of \$87,000 in the first year.

RATING FORM FOR ENHANCEMENT INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT REQUESTS

PROPOSAL NUMBER: 068UG-06

INSTITUTION: Dillard University

TITLE OF PROPOSAL: Enhancement of Computational Science Instruction and
and Research

A. The Current Situation

(Total of 10 Points)

A.1 Yes X No
 A.2 4 (of 5 points)
 A.3 4 (of 5 points)

C. Equipment

(Total of 10 Points)

C.1 5 (of 6 points)
 C.2 1 (of 1 point)
 C.3 3 (of 3 points)

**E. Economic and/or Cultural
Development and Impact**

(Total of 12 Points)

E.1 2 (of 2 points)
 E.2a 9 (For S/E)
 or (of 10 points)
 E.2b (For NS/NE)

H. Total Score: 76 (of 100 points)

B. The Enhancement Plan

(Total of 52 Points)

B.1 3 (of 5 points)
 B.2 12 (of 15 points)
 B.3 15 (of 20 points)
 B.4 3 (of 5 points)
 B.5 2 (of 2 points)
 B.6 5 (of 5 points)
 B.7 Yes No X

D. Faculty and Staff Expertise

(Total of 12 Points)

D.1 6 (of 12 points)

F. Additional Funding Sources

(Total of 4 Points)

F.1 2 (of 4 points)

G. Previous Support Fund Awards

(No Points Assigned)

G.1 Yes X No

(Note: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY Requested Amount: \$93,986
RECOMMENDATIONS: Recommended Amount: \$59,500

COMMENTS: (Discuss proposal strengths and weaknesses, particularly in those sections where significant point deductions have been made. Include suggestions for resubmission. For proposals recommended for funding, include all applicable stipulations in budgets and scopes of work.)

This well-written proposal for development of a new course (Introduction to Computational Sciences) and support equipment in the computational sciences addresses a topic of growing interest in the Academy and industry. The project, while expensive, will provide pedagogical benefits to a large number of classes inside and outside of computer science. The project should provide basic infrastructure to help update aging laboratory equipment, and enable the PIs to engage in significant new research that will also enable them to seek further external funding. Partial funding of \$59,500 also should have a direct economic impact through a project of much current interest to industry. Support Funds should be allocated for the most necessary equipment (at more reasonable costs) and software to complete the project, as well as \$4,500 for item G. The institution should fund item D in addition to maintaining the pledged match for items E and F.

Appendix A

Summary of Proposals Submitted

**Proposals Submitted to the
Undergraduate Enhancement Program
for the FY 2005-2006 Review Cycle**

Prop#	Title	Institution/Department	Principal Investigator(s)	Duration (Years)	BoRSF Money Requested
001UG-06 SS	Multipurpose Classroom and Mobile Learning Environment	Bossier Parish Community College (Behavioral and Social Sciences);	Kay Boston;	1	\$ 158,396
				Total	\$ 158,396
E					
Proposal is a New Request					
002UG-06 C/IS	Mobile Learning Environment for CIS	Bossier Parish Community College (Business Administration);	Richard Robins;	1	\$ 43,570
				Total	\$ 43,570
E					
Proposal is a New Request					

E=Primarily an Equipment Request
N=Not Primarily an Equipment Request

Prop#	Title	Institution/Department	Principal Investigator(s)	Duration (Years)	BoRSF Money Requested
003UG-06 BS	Enhanced Separation Capabilities in Biochemistry and Chemistry at Centenary College	Centenary College (Chemistry);	Ernest W. Blakeney; Joshua D. Lawrence; Ronald A. Martin; Thomas M. Ticich;	1	\$ 41,988
				Total	\$ 41,988
E					
Proposal is a New Request					
004UG-06 BS	Enhancement of Biomolecular Tools at Centenary College	Centenary College (Biology);	Cynthia J. Brame; Scott Chirhart;	1	\$ 69,017
				Total	\$ 69,017
E					
Proposal is a New Request					
005UG-06 SS	Enhancing Undergraduate Education Through Economic Reporting to Secondary Teachers	Centenary College (Economics);	Harold Christensen; David Hoaas; Elizabeth Rankin;	1	\$ 32,787
				Total	\$ 32,787
E					
Proposal is a New Request					

Prop#	Title	Institution/Department	Principal Investigator(s)	Duration (Years)	BoRSF Money Requested
006UG-06 HUM	Enhancing Humanities Computing at Centenary College of Louisiana	Centenary College (English);	Jeanne Hamming; Jeff Hendricks;	1	\$ 39,894
				Total	\$ 39,894
E	Proposal is a New Request				
007UG-06 BS	Enhancement of Pre-Professional Allied Health and Exercise Science Programs Through the Establishment of a Human Anatomy and Physiology Laboratory and the Introduction of Guided-Inquiry Learning	Centenary College (Health and Exercise Science);	Victoria A. LeFevers; Daniel R. Henderson; Shelley N. Armstrong;	1	\$ 74,548
E				Total	\$ 74,548
	Proposal is a New Request				
008UG-06 BS	Focus on Biology: Using Digital Microscopes to Enhance Knowledge, Critical Thinking, and Teamwork in Biology Courses	Centenary College (Biology);	Beth E. Leuck; Edwin E. Leuck; Scott E. Chirhart;	1	\$ 63,823
E				Total	\$ 63,823
	Proposal is a New Request				

Prop#	Title	Institution/Department	Principal Investigator(s)	Duration (Years)	BoRSF Money Requested
009UG-06 HUM	Katrina and Rita, One Year Later: An Oral History of the Victims, Evacuees, and Residents of Louisiana	Grambling State University (History and Geography);	Nikki L. M. Brown; Paul Gelpi; Reginald Owens;	1 2 .	\$ 29,992 \$
				Total	\$ 29,992
N Proposal is a New Request					
010UG-06 SS	Grambling Faculty/Student Development Project	Grambling State University (Sociology/Psychology);	Brenda Wall;	1 .	\$ 90,000
				Total	\$ 90,000
N Proposal is a New Request					
011UG-06 BS	Application of Computer Technology for Instructional Enhancement	Louisiana State University And A&M College - Alexandria (Biological Sciences);	Zelege Negatu;	1 2 .	\$ 39,937 \$ 13,760
				Total	\$ 53,697
E Proposal is a New Request					

Prop#	Title	Institution/Department	Principal Investigator(s)	Duration (Years)	BoRSF Money Requested
012UG-06 SS	Developing an Enhanced Multi-Media Social Sciences Laboratory at LSUE	Louisiana State University And A&M College - Eunice (Liberal Arts);	Linda Langley;	1	\$ 28,566
				Total	\$ 28,566
E					
Proposal is a New Request					
013UG-06 BS	Curriculum Development in Functional Genomics and Proteomics at LSUS	Louisiana State University And A&M College - Shreveport (Biological Sciences);	Stephanie Aamodt; M. Cran Lucas; Tara Williams-Hart;	1	\$ 54,000
				Total	\$ 54,000
N					
Proposal is a New Request					
014UG-06 SS	Upgrade and Enhancement of GIS Lab	Louisiana State University And A&M College - Shreveport (History and Social Sciences);	David L. Anderson; Gary D. Joiner;	1	\$ 36,157
				Total	\$ 36,157
E					
Proposal is a New Request					

Prop#	Title	Institution/Department	Principal Investigator(s)	Duration (Years)	BoRSF Money Requested
015UG-06 BS	Computer Interfaced Equipment and its Role in Freshman Biology Laboratory Instruction at LSUS	Louisiana State University And A&M College - Shreveport (Biological Sciences);	Stephen W. Banks; Beverly J. Burden; Nathan R. Hutchings;	1	\$ 43,745
				Total	\$ 43,745
E					
Proposal is a New Request					
016UG-06 ENG B	Computer Numeric Control (CNC) Enhancement for Undergraduate Education	Louisiana State University And A&M College - Shreveport (Engineering);	Gary R. Boucher;	1	\$ 20,289
				Total	\$ 20,289
E					
Proposal is a New Request					
017UG-06 HUM	Film Studies Enhancement	Louisiana State University And A&M College - Shreveport (English);	Diane E. Boyd; Cleatta R. Morris; Cleatta R. Morris; Gloria Horning; Gloria Horning; Gloria Horning; Kathleen Smith; Kristie Weeks;	1	\$ 85,468
				Total	\$ 85,468
E					
Proposal is a New Request					

Prop#	Title	Institution/Department	Principal Investigator(s)	Duration (Years)	BoRSF Money Requested
018UG-06 SS	Service-Learning Enhancement Project	Louisiana State University And A&M College - Shreveport (Liberal Arts);	Norman A. Dolch; Helen Powell; Laura Meiki;	1	\$ 63,580
				Total	\$ 63,580
N Proposal is a New Request					
019UG-06 BS	Laboratory Equipment and Supplies Library	Louisiana State University And A&M College - Shreveport (Education);	Linda M. Easley; Nathan Hutchings;	1	\$ 34,414
				Total	\$ 34,414
E Proposal is a New Request					
020UG-06 BS	Enhancement of Experiential Learning in Biology at LSUS	Louisiana State University And A&M College - Shreveport (Biological Sciences);	Dalton R. Gossett; Stephen W. Banks;	1	\$ 45,500
				Total	\$ 45,500
N Proposal is a New Request					

Prop#	Title	Institution/Department	Principal Investigator(s)	Duration (Years)	BoRSF Money Requested
021UG-06 HUM	Electronic Media Journalism Enhancement	Louisiana State University And A&M College - Shreveport (Communications);	Gloria G. Horning; Jack Nolan;	1	\$ 48,044
				Total	\$ 48,044
E					
Proposal is a New Request					
022UG-06 SS	Psychology Multimedia Classroom Technology	Louisiana State University And A&M College - Shreveport (Psychology);	Rebecca Nolan; Yong Dai;	1	\$ 31,154
				Total	\$ 31,154
E					
Proposal is a New Request					
023UG-06 C/IS	Experience and Experiments with a Full Collaboration Classroom	Louisiana State University And A&M College - Shreveport (Computer Science);	John Sigle; Adrienne Critcher; Beverly Burden; Jeff Key; Krishna Agarwal;	1	\$ 142,750
				Total	\$ 142,750
E					
Proposal is a New Request					

Prop#	Title	Institution/Department	Principal Investigator(s)	Duration (Years)	BoRSF Money Requested
024UG-06 BS	Exercise Physiology Science Lab	Louisiana State University And A&M College - Shreveport (Kinesiology and Health Sciences);	Timothy P. Winter; Jesse DeMello; Kyle Pierce; Ron Byrd;	1	\$ 110,844
				Total	\$ 110,844
E	Proposal is a New Request				
025UG-06 SS	The Loyola Digital, Nonlinear Electronic News Production Center	Loyola University New Orleans (Communications);	Mary I. Blue; Nancy M. Dupont;	1	\$ 99,985
				Total	\$ 99,985
E	Proposal is a New Request				
026UG-06 SS	MSU GIS Post Rita Reconstruction/Enhancement BOR Grant Proposal	McNeese State University (Agricultural Sciences);	Billy DeLany, Jr.; Frank Phillips; Steve Reagan; Steven Rainey;	1	\$ 108,825
				Total	\$ 108,825
E	Proposal is a New Request				

Prop#	Title	Institution/Department	Principal Investigator(s)	Duration (Years)	BoRSF Money Requested
027UG-06 SS	Acquisition of Smart Classrooms for the Department of Family and Consumer Sciences at McNeese State University	McNeese State University (Family and Consumer Sciences);	Debra Hollingsworth; Beth Fontenot;	1	\$ 79,458
				Total	\$ 79,458
E	Proposal is a New Request				
028UG-06 BS	Enhancement of Undergraduate Education Through Research in Molecular Biology	McNeese State University (Biology and Environmental Sciences);	Michael G. LaMontagne;	1 2	\$ 70,438 \$ 4,903
				Total	\$ 75,341
E	Proposal is a New Request				
029UG-06 BS	Upgrading Autoclave for Microbiology Education	Nicholls State University (Biological Sciences);	Raj Boopathy; David Schultz;	1	\$ 38,025
				Total	\$ 38,025
E	Proposal is a New Request				

Prop#	Title	Institution/Department	Principal Investigator(s)	Duration (Years)	BoRSF Money Requested
030UG-06 BS	Enhancement of Cytotechnology Microscopic and Lecture-Guided Equipment	Nicholls State University (Allied Health Sciences);	Celdrick Course; David Gyer; Teddy P. Dupre, Jr.;	1	\$ 188,211
				Total	\$ 188,211
E					
Proposal is a New Request					
031UG-06 HUM	Design and Implementation of Online Courses to Meet GenEd English Requirements in all Curriculums, to Replace Off-Campus Classes, to Provide Summer School Courses Online	Nicholls State University (Languages and Literature);	Rebecca J. LeBlanc;	1	\$ 48,634
N				Total	\$ 48,634
E					
Proposal is a New Request					
032UG-06 BS	Upgrading Microscope Technology for Freshman Science Majors in Biology II (Species Diversity)	Nicholls State University (Biological Sciences);	Earl J. Melancon, Jr.; Allyse Ferrara; David L. Schultz;	1	\$ 20,130
				Total	\$ 20,130
E					
Proposal is a New Request					

Prop#	Title	Institution/Department	Principal Investigator(s)	Duration (Years)	BoRSF Money Requested
033UG-06 C/IS	Interactive Presentation Technologies in Support of the Computer Information Systems Program at Nicholls State University	Nicholls State University (Business Administration);	Ken R. Rachal; M. Khurram S. Bhutta; Morris Coats; Ronnie Fanguy;	1	\$ 19,552
E				Total	\$ 19,552
Proposal is a New Request					
034UG-06 BS	Maintaining and Enhancing Biological Fieldwork Capabilities of the Department of Biological Sciences of Nicholls State University	Nicholls State University (Biological Sciences);	David L. Schultz; Allyse Ferrara; Quenton C. Fontenot;	1	\$ 16,115
E				Total	\$ 16,115
Proposal is a New Request					
035UG-06 HUM	Technology-Equipped Classrooms Allowing for Multi-Media Work in Languages and Literature	Nicholls State University (Languages and Literature);	Connie B. Sirois;	1	\$ 99,114
E				Total	\$ 99,114
Proposal is a New Request					

Prop#	Title	Institution/Department	Principal Investigator(s)	Duration (Years)	BoRSF Money Requested
036UG-06 HUM	Enhancing Interactive and Intercultural Education at Nicholls State University	Nicholls State University (Languages and Literature);	Robin Anita White; Dennis Durrocher; Mabel Illidge;	1	\$ 95,845
				Total	\$ 95,845
E					
Proposal is a New Request					
037UG-06 BS	Enhancing Comparative Physiology Education	Nicholls State University (Biological Sciences);	Enmin Zou; Gary LaFleur;	1	\$ 30,123
				Total	\$ 30,123
E					
Proposal is a New Request					
038UG-06 BS	Enhancing Student Learning Through Utilization of Advanced Technologies in Field Studies on Wetlands and Aquatic Ecosystems	Northwestern State University (Biology);	Juliette Delabbio; Samuel Marshall;	1	\$ 102,764
				Total	\$ 102,764
E					
Proposal is a New Request					

Prop#	Title	Institution/Department	Principal Investigator(s)	Duration (Years)	BoRSF Money Requested
039UG-06 HUM	Creating Portable Electronic English Classrooms	Northwestern State University (Language and Communication);	Helaine Razovsky; Allen Bauman; Lisa Abney;	1	\$ 39,368
				Total	\$ 39,368

E

Proposal is a **New Request**

040UG-06 ENG B	Equipment Enhancement for Industrial Technology Students	Nunez Community College (Business and Technology);	Sharon Flanagan;	1	\$ 304,932
				Total	\$ 304,932

E

Proposal is a **New Request**

041UG-06 BS	Project Enhancement of Forensic Science in the Undergraduate Curriculum	Our Lady of the Lake College (Liberal Arts and Sciences);	Michael H. Silveira; Walter L. Davis;	1 2	\$ 25,000 \$ 50,000
				Total	\$ 75,000

E

Proposal is a **New Request**

Note: Only Year one budget page; justification for both years.

Prop#	Title	Institution/Department	Principal Investigator(s)	Duration (Years)	BoRSF Money Requested
042UG-06 BS	Equipment Enhancement for South Louisiana Community College Biology Students	South Louisiana Community College (Natural Sciences);	Darcee Bex; Kathryn Covington;	1	\$ 29,523
				Total	\$ 29,523
E					
Proposal is a New Request					
043UG-06 C/IS	The Development of an Interdisciplinary Lab for Collaborative Activities in CS/IT	Southeastern Louisiana University (Computer Science and Industrial Technology);	Ghassan Alkadi; Kuo-pao Yang;	1	\$ 30,420
				Total	\$ 30,420
E					
Proposal is a New Request					
044UG-06 ENG B	Rapid Prototyping to Help Recovering and Emerging Businesses and Industries Solve Technical Design Problems	Southeastern Louisiana University (Computer Science and Industrial Technology);	Michael Beauvais;	1	\$ 164,969
				Total	\$ 164,969
E					
Proposal is a New Request					

Prop#	Title	Institution/Department	Principal Investigator(s)	Duration (Years)	BoRSF Money Requested
045UG-06 SS	Louisiana Teachers of Psychology (LAToP)	Southeastern Louisiana University (Psychology);	Kara Faust;	1	\$ 21,218
				Total	\$ 21,218
N					
Proposal is a New Request					
046UG-06 BS	A Comprehensive Biology Learning Laboratory for Enhancing Undergraduate Education with Supplemental Instruction and Tutoring	Southeastern Louisiana University (Biological Sciences);	Edward T. Nelson; Dorothy Burton Nelson; Kimberly Rachal;	1	\$ 63,943
				Total	\$ 63,943
E					
Proposal is a New Request					
047UG-06 BS	The Need to Upgrade an Antiquated Operational System for a Philips XL 20 Scanning Electron Microscope	Southeastern Louisiana University (Biology);	William N. Norton; David M. Sever;	1	\$ 21,580
				Total	\$ 21,580
E					
Proposal is a New Request					

Prop#	Title	Institution/Department	Principal Investigator(s)	Duration (Years)	BoRSF Money Requested
048UG-06 C/IS	Group Decision Support Systems Computer Laboratory for Service Learning and Community Enhancement in Information Systems Classes	Southeastern Louisiana University (Management);	Barbara A. Schuldt; Minh Huynh; Rachelle F. Cope; Robert F. Cope;	1	\$ 79,107
E				Total	\$ 79,107
Proposal is a New Request					
049UG-06 BS	Enhancing a Molecular Biotechnology Teaching Lab at Southeastern	Southeastern Louisiana University (Biological Sciences);	Mary E. White;	1	\$ 23,163
E				Total	\$ 23,163
Proposal is a New Request					
050UG-06 SS	Applied Statistics Enhancement Project	Southern University and A&M College at New Orleans (Social Sciences);	George Amedee;	1 2	\$ 28,667 \$ 6,000
N				Total	\$ 34,667
Proposal is a New Request					

Prop#	Title	Institution/Department	Principal Investigator(s)	Duration (Years)	BoRSF Money Requested
051UG-06	Technology Infrastructure	Southern University and A&M	William Belisle; Adnan Omar;	1	\$ 87,500
SS	Enhancement and Sustainment for the Humanities, Social Sciences, Biological Sciences, and Computer	College at New Orleans (Grants, Sponsored Research and Contracts Office);	Edmond Cummings;	2	\$ 50,000
N	Information Systems (CIS) Departments			Total	\$ 137,500
Proposal is a New Request					
052UG-06	Enhancing Social Sciences Academic Infrastructure by Providing Technology	Southern University and A&M College at New Orleans (Social Sciences);	Sudipta Das;	1	\$ 77,397
SS				Total	\$ 77,397
E					
Proposal is a New Request					
053UG-06	Computer Information Systems Mobile Lab (CISML)	Southern University and A&M College at New Orleans (Computer Information Systems);	Samuel O. Eweni; David Alijani; J. Steven Welsh; Wendy Zhang;	1	\$ 60,799
C/IS				Total	\$ 60,799
E					
Proposal is a New Request					

Prop#	Title	Institution/Department	Principal Investigator(s)	Duration (Years)	BoRSF Money Requested
054UG-06 SS	Teaching and Computer Laboratories and Online Instruction in Psychology	Southern University and A&M College at New Orleans (Psychology);	Thomas J. Hebert;	1	\$ 26,970
				Total	\$ 26,970
E					
Proposal is a New Request					
055UG-06 C/IS	The Infusion of Virtual Reality and Entrepreneurship	Southern University and A&M College at New Orleans (Computer Information Systems);	Vincent T. Johnson; J. Steven Welsh;	1	\$ 47,698
				Total	\$ 47,698
E					
Proposal is a New Request					
056UG-06 BS	Enhancement of Biology Classroom Facilities at SUNO	Southern University and A&M College at New Orleans (Biology);	Murty S. Kambhampati; David S. Adegboye; Lisa R. Mims-Devezin; Sylvia S. Scineaux;	1	\$ 22,000
				Total	\$ 22,000
E					
Proposal is a New Request					

Prop#	Title	Institution/Department	Principal Investigator(s)	Duration (Years)	BoRSF Money Requested
057UG-06 BS	Enhancement of Biology Infrastructure at SUNO Through Integration of SMART/Symposium Technologies	Southern University and A&M College at New Orleans (Biology);	Murty S. Kambhampati; David S. Adegboye; Lisa R. Mims-Devezin; Sylvia S. Scineaux;	1	\$ 50,545
E				Total	\$ 50,545
Proposal is a New Request					
058UG-06 BS	Enhancement of the Computer Laboratory Facility for Biology Instruction at SUNO	Southern University and A&M College at New Orleans (Biology);	Lisa R. Mims-Devezin; David S. Adegboye; Murty S. Kambhampati; Sylvia S. Scineaux;	1	\$ 72,306
E				Total	\$ 72,306
Proposal is a New Request					
059UG-06 BS	Enhancement of Microbiology, Cell and Molecular Biology, and Ecology Teaching and Research Infrastructure at Southern University at New Orleans	Southern University and A&M College at New Orleans (Biology);	Lisa R. Mims-Devezin; David S. Adegboye; Murty S. Kambhampati; Sylvia S. Scineaux;	1	\$ 38,632
E				Total	\$ 38,632
Proposal is a New Request					

Prop#	Title	Institution/Department	Principal Investigator(s)	Duration (Years)	BoRSF Money Requested
060UG-06 BS	Enhancement of Anatomy and Physiology Teaching and Research Infrastructure	Southern University and A&M College at New Orleans (Biology);	Yetunde Ogunkoya; David S. Adegboye; Joe Omojola;	1	\$ 147,520
				Total	\$ 147,520
E					
Proposal is a New Request					
061UG-06 SS	SUNO-SSW Faculty Development: Trauma Healing and Conflict Transformation	Southern University and A&M College at New Orleans (Social Work);	Julianna Padgett; Lyndia Green-Faust;	1	\$ 57,387
				Total	\$ 57,387
N					
Proposal is a New Request					
062UG-06 HUM	SUNO Humanities Research Infrastructure Enhancement Project	Southern University and A&M College at New Orleans (Library);	Mary Penny; Henry Efeso-Mokosso; Rosanna M. Giusti;	1	\$ 106,658
				Total	\$ 106,658
E					
Proposal is a New Request					

Prop#	Title	Institution/Department	Principal Investigator(s)	Duration (Years)	BoRSF Money Requested
063UG-06 C/IS	Enhancement of Classroom Instructions Via Integrating Technology into Classroom	Southern University and A&M College at New Orleans (Computer Information Systems);	Dayannand Thangada; Adnan Omar; Vincent Johnson; Wendy Zhang;	1	\$ 48,209
				Total	\$ 48,209
E					
Proposal is a New Request					
064UG-06 C/IS	Enhancement by Providing the Infrastructure to Prepare Students for Professional/Managerial Certification	Southern University and A&M College at New Orleans (Computer Information Systems);	J. Steven Welsh; Adnan Omar; Frank Martin; Igwe Udeh; Vincent Johnson;	1	\$ 169,406
				Total	\$ 169,406
E					
Proposal is a New Request					
065UG-06 BS	Incorporation of Computer Imaging Technology into the Microbiology and Cell Biology Curriculum	University of Louisiana at Monroe (Biology);	Carl D. Gilbert; Ann M. Findley; Davis Pritchett;	1	\$ 46,900
				Total	\$ 46,900
E					
Proposal is a New Request					

Prop#	Title	Institution/Department	Principal Investigator(s)	Duration (Years)	BoRSF Money Requested
066UG-06 SS	Constructing a Departmental Community of Scholars: A Paradigm for Collaborative Undergraduate Research	Xavier University (Communications);	Joe Melcher; David Park; Dominique Gendrin; Nancy Martino; Ross Louis;	1 2 .	\$ 86,595 \$ 26,647
N				Total	\$ 113,242
Proposal is a New Request					
067UG-06 HUM	The Center for the Study of Languages and Cultures	Xavier University (Languages);	Susan Fitch Spillman;	1 2 .	\$ 120,765 \$
E				Total	\$ 120,765
Proposal is a New Request					
068UG-06 C/IS	Enhancement of Computational Science Instruction and Research	Dillard University (Division of Natural Science and Public Health);	Zujia Xu; Azubike Okpalaeze; Hong Dai;	1 .	\$ 93,986
E				Total	\$ 93,986
Proposal is a New Request					

Summary of Proposals Submitted to the Undergraduate Enhancement Program for the FY 2005-2006 Review Cycle

Total Number of Proposals Submitted	Total First-Year Funds Requested	Total Funds Requested	Total First-Year Funds Available
68	\$ 4,638,845	\$ 4,790,155	\$1,787,105

Appendix B

Rating Forms

- A. For Equipment Proposals
- B. For Non-Equipment Proposals

**BOARD OF REGENTS SUPPORT FUND
ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM, FISCAL YEAR 2005-06**

**RATING FORM FOR TRADITIONAL AND UNDERGRADUATE ENHANCEMENT PROPOSALS
PURCHASE OF INSTRUCTIONAL AND RESEARCH EQUIPMENT**

INSTRUCTIONS: The completed evaluation form should represent the consensus of the expert members of the review panel and, as such, must reflect the final decisions of that panel. Review this form and the program guidelines prior to reading the proposal. The higher the score, the more clearly the proposal satisfies the criterion under consideration. Guidelines should not be interpreted to exclude from eligibility departments and/or units engaged solely in instruction. Use the white space provided to explain the panel's ratings, especially on items given low scores. Attach additional pages, as necessary.

A. THE CURRENT SITUATION--Total of 10 points

- YES _____ NO _____
- _____ of 5 pts. A.1 Has the applicant adequately described the institution and unit(s)/department(s) that will benefit from the proposed project, especially in terms of mission, faculty, students, and relevant institutional or departmental resources?
- _____ of 5 pts. A.2 To what extent will the proposed project enhance the affected department(s) or unit(s)?
- _____ of 5 pts. A.3 To what extent will the project complement and improve upon existing resources of the department(s) or unit(s)?

COMMENTS:

B. THE ENHANCEMENT PLAN--Total of 52 points

- _____ of 20 pts. B.1 Are the objectives clearly stated? Can the objectives be completed within the timeframe detailed in the proposal?
- _____ of 20 pts. B.2 To what extent will the proposed project catapult the department(s) or unit(s) into attaining a high level of regional, national, or international eminence--or maintaining a current high level of eminence--commensurate with degree offerings and/or functions?
- _____ of 5 pts. B.3 To what extent will the proposed project have an impact on the variety and quality of curricular offerings and instructional methods within the affected department(s) or unit(s)? Appropriate to current thinking in the specific field(s) or discipline(s) of the proposed project, is reform of undergraduate education and/or teacher preparation encouraged?
- _____ of 2 pts. B.4 To what extent will the proposed project enhance the ability of the department(s) or unit(s) to attract and/or retain students of high quality, particularly high quality students from Louisiana?
- _____ of 5 pts. B.5 To what extent will the project contribute to improving the quality and effectiveness of faculty teaching and improve faculty pedagogical practices within the context of current thinking on reform of undergraduate education and teacher preparation, specific to field(s) or discipline(s) of the proposed project?

COMMENTS:

C. EQUIPMENT--Total of 10 points

- _____ of 6 pts. C.1 To what extent has the proposal established a relationship between the enhancement plan and the items of equipment requested? Is the equipment well-justified? Will it significantly enhance the existing technological capability of the department? Does it reflect current and projected trends in technology?
- _____ of 1 pt. C.2 Has there been a thorough survey of the current equipment inventory and does the proposal plan to make full use of it?
- _____ of 3 pts. C.3 To what extent does the proposal present a reasonable plan to ensure a maximum usable lifetime for the equipment? Are housing and maintenance arrangements for equipment adequate?

COMMENTS:

D. FACULTY AND STAFF EXPERTISE--Total of 12 points

- _____ of 12 pts D.1 Are the faculty and support personnel appropriately qualified to implement this project? If special training will be required for faculty and/or other personnel, has an appropriate plan been developed?

COMMENTS:

E. ECONOMIC AND/OR CULTURAL DEVELOPMENT AND IMPACT--Total of 12 points

- _____ of 2 pts. E.1 To what extent will the project assist in establishing a new relationship, or strengthen an existing relationship, with one or more industrial/institutional sponsors (e.g., private business, trade organization, professional organization, non-profit or community organization, another university or consortium of universities, federal government agency)?

NOTE TO REVIEWER: Depending on the discipline of the submitting department or unit, provide rating points for EITHER E.2a OR E.2b:

- _____ of 10 pts. E.2a For science/engineering proposals only: To what extent will the project assist the submitting department(s)/unit(s) in promoting or enhancing the economic development of the State of Louisiana?
- E.2b For non-science/non-engineering proposals only: To what extent will the project contribute to the academic and/or cultural resources of the State of Louisiana?

COMMENTS:

Proposal Number: _____

Principal Investigator: _____

F. ADDITIONAL FUNDING SOURCES--Total of 4 points

_____ of 4 pts.

F.1 To what extent will the costs associated with this project be shared through contributions from the institution(s) involved and/or external organizations?

COMMENTS:

G. PREVIOUS SUPPORT FUND AWARDS--No points assigned

YES ___ NO ___

G.1 If the Project Director or Co-Project Director has received previous Support Fund support, has it been adequately documented?

COMMENTS:

H. TOTAL SCORE: (NOTE: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

_____ of 100 points

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY RECOMMENDATIONS

Requested Amount \$ _____

Recommended Amount \$ _____

COMMENTS:

=====

I agree to maintain in confidence any information, documentation and material of any kind (hereinafter referred to as "Material") included in this proposal; I further agree not to disclose, divulge, publish, file patent application on, claim ownership of, exploit or make any other use whatsoever of said "Material" without the written permission of the principal investigator. To the best of my knowledge, no conflict of interest is created as a result of my reviewing this proposal.

Reviewer's Name and Institution: _____

Reviewer's Signature: _____ Date: _____

**BOARD OF REGENTS SUPPORT FUND
ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM, FISCAL YEAR 2005-06**

**RATING FORM FOR TRADITIONAL AND UNDERGRADUATE ENHANCEMENT PROPOSALS
REQUESTS OTHER THAN EQUIPMENT PURCHASES (e.g., Colloquia, Curricular Revisions, etc.)**

INSTRUCTIONS: The completed evaluation form should represent the consensus of the expert members of the review panel and, as such, must reflect the final decisions of that panel. Review this form and the program guidelines prior to reading the proposal. The higher the score, the more clearly the proposal satisfies the criterion under consideration. Guidelines should not be interpreted to exclude from eligibility departments and/or units engaged solely in instruction. Use the white space provided to explain the panel's ratings, especially on items given low scores. Attach additional pages, as necessary.

A. THE CURRENT SITUATION--Total of 10 points

- YES _____ NO _____
- _____ of 5 pts. A.1 Has the applicant adequately described the institution and unit(s)/department(s) that will benefit from the proposed project, especially in terms of mission, faculty, students, and relevant institutional or departmental resources?
- _____ of 5 pts. A.2 To what extent will the proposed project enhance the affected department(s) or unit(s)?
- _____ of 5 pts. A.3 To what extent will the project complement and improve upon existing resources of the department(s) or unit(s)?

COMMENTS:

B. THE ENHANCEMENT PLAN--Total of 62 points

- _____ of 25 pts. B.1 Are the objectives clearly stated? Can the objectives be completed within the timeframe detailed in the proposal?
- _____ of 25 pts. B.2 To what extent will the proposed project catapult the department(s) or unit(s) into attaining a high level of regional, national, or international eminence--or maintaining a current high level of eminence--commensurate with degree offerings and/or functions?
- _____ of 5 pts. B.3 To what extent will the proposed project have an impact on the variety and quality of curricular offerings and instructional methods within the affected department(s) or unit(s)? Appropriate to current thinking in the specific field(s) or discipline(s) of the proposed project, is reform of undergraduate education and/or teacher preparation encouraged?
- _____ of 2 pts. B.4 To what extent will the proposed project enhance the ability of the department(s) or unit(s) to attract and/or retain students of high quality, particularly high quality students from Louisiana?
- _____ of 5 pts. B.5 To what extent will the project contribute to improving the quality and effectiveness of faculty teaching and improve faculty pedagogical practices within the context of current thinking on reform of undergraduate education and teacher preparation, specific to field(s) or discipline(s) of the proposed project?

COMMENTS:

C. FACULTY AND STAFF EXPERTISE--Total of 12 points

_____ of 12 pts.

C.1 Are the faculty and support personnel appropriately qualified to implement this project? If special training will be required for faculty and/or other personnel, has an appropriate plan been developed?

COMMENTS:

D. ECONOMIC AND/OR CULTURAL DEVELOPMENT AND IMPACT--Total of 12 points

_____ of 2 pts.

D.1 To what extent will the project assist in establishing a new relationship, or strengthen an existing relationship, with one or more industrial/institutional sponsors (e.g., private business, trade organization, professional organization, non-profit or community organization, another university or consortium of universities, federal government agency)?

NOTE TO REVIEWER: Depending on the discipline of the submitting department or unit, provide rating points for EITHER D.2a OR D.2b:

_____ of 10 pts.

D.2a For science/engineering proposals only: To what extent will the project assist the submitting department(s)/unit(s) in promoting or enhancing the economic development of the State of Louisiana?

D.2b For non-science/non-engineering proposals only: To what extent will the project contribute to the academic and/or cultural resources of the State of Louisiana?

COMMENTS:

E. ADDITIONAL FUNDING SOURCES--Total of 4 points

_____ of 4 pts.

E.1 To what extent will the costs associated with this project be shared through contributions from the institution(s) involved and/or external organizations?

COMMENTS:

F. PREVIOUS SUPPORT FUND AWARDS--No points assigned

YES___ NO_____

F.1 If the Project Director or Co-Project Director has received previous Support Fund support, has it been adequately documented?

COMMENTS:

Proposal Number: _____

Principal Investigator: _____

G. TOTAL SCORE (NOTE: Proposals with a total score below 70 will not be recommended for funding.)

_____ of 100 points

SPECIFIC BUDGETARY RECOMMENDATIONS

Requested Amount: \$ _____

Recommended Amount: \$ _____

COMMENTS:

=====

I agree to maintain in confidence any information, documentation and material of any kind (hereinafter referred to as "Material") included in this proposal; I further agree not to disclose, divulge, publish, file patent application on, claim ownership of, exploit or make any other use whatsoever of said "Material" without the written permission of the principal investigator. To the best of my knowledge, no conflict of interest is created as a result of my reviewing this proposal.

Reviewer's Name and Institution: _____

Reviewer's Signature: _____

Date: _____
(Form 6.12, rev.2004)