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### Pilot Funding for New Research (Pfund) Program
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| 1. Do the proposed research and supporting materials provide convincing evidence of the potential to attract federal funding, i.e., through future proposal submissions to federal agencies? (40 points) | \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
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Send form electronically to [jessica.domingue@la.gov](mailto:jessica.domingue@la.gov) or by fax to 225-342-3371. Thanks!
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